## WearDrive: Fast and Energy Efficient Storage for Wearables

## Jian Huang<sup>†</sup>

Anirudh Badam Ranveer Chandra Ed Nightingale





## Wearable Computing: A New Era



## Wearable Computing: A New Era















#### Energy Challenge: Wearable Apps Are Data-Intensive



#### Energy Challenge: Wearable Apps Are Data-Intensive



#### Energy Challenge: Wearable Apps Are Data-Intensive



## Mobile Storage is Energy-Intensive

Flash device is not energy-intensive, Storage software stack is energy-intensive !

## Mobile Storage is Energy-Intensive

### Flash device is not energy-intensive, Storage software stack is energy-intensive !



Storage software consumes 80–110x more energy than Flash [Li et al., FAST'14]

## Mobile Storage is Energy-Intensive

Flash device is not energy-intensive, Storage software stack is energy-intensive !



Slow Flash: Increased CPU Idle Time Runtime System Overhead Data Encryption

# Use DRAM as Storage?



- DRAM is fast
- Closer to applications
- No software overhead for isolation and security

# Use DRAM as Storage?



- DRAM is fast
- Closer to applications
- No software overhead for isolation and security

DRAM is volatile, the data durability is a problem !









No Hardware Changes





No Hardware Changes

Performance Improvement





IO Hardware Changes

Performance Improvement

Data Durability











## Leveraging Phone for Capacity/Compute

Wearable applications focus on the latest data, but how about the old data?

## Leveraging Phone for Capacity/Compute

Wearable applications focus on the latest data, but how about the old data?

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Reaching the Phone Efficiently

	Standby Power	Data Transfer
BLE	Low	0.41 microjoules/bit
WFD	High	0.02 microjoules/bit

BLE for small data transfer, WFD for large data transfer

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Reaching the Phone Efficiently

	Standby Power	Data Transfer
BLE	Low	0.41 microjoules/bit
WFD	High	0.02 microjoules/bit

BLE for small data transfer, WFD for large data transfer

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Building A Distributed In-Memory Storage System

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Improve performance & save energy

2 Reduce Flash size  $\rightarrow$  reduce \$ cost (10%)

**3** Leverage phone's resources for wearable

## WearDrive Design: Key Value Store

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

## WearDrive Design: Key Value Store

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### WearDrive: Implementation in Real System

#### Application

#### Android OS (version 4.4)

Mobile Device

#### WearDrive: Implementation in Real System

Application		
KV-Store: WearCache/WearKV	BB-RAM Manager	Hybrid Network Management
Android OS (version 4.4)		
Mobile Device		

#### WearDrive: Implementation in Real System

	Application	
W	earDrive API	
KV-Store: WearCache/WearKV	BB-RAM Manager	Hybrid Network Management
Android OS (version 4.4)		
Mobile Device		

# **Experimental Setup**

Emulated wearable device (similar to Samsung Gear) 1.2 GHz Dual-core + 512 MB RAM + BLE 4.0 + WiFi 802.11 b/g/n Monsoon power monitor

## **Experimental Setup**

Т

WearableOnly	Use local Flash as storage
WearSDK*	Android Wear SDK
WearDrive	In-memory storage system

\*we extend its data layer to make it support WFD and hybrid network protocol.

## WearBench: Benchmarks for Wearables

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Local Memory vs. Local Flash (Write)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

17

### Local Memory vs. Local Flash (Read)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

18

### Energy Usage of Sensor Data Aggregation

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

WearDrive consumes 1.5x less power than WearableOnly, up to 3x less power than WearSDK

#### **Energy Usage of Receiving Notifications**

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

Interval between two notifications (seconds)

#### WearDrive saves 1.2 - 3x energy than WearSDK-BLE

#### Compute Offload & Impact on the Phone

	Wearable (300 mAh)	Phone (2000 mAh)
WearableOnly	27.12%	
WearableOnly +InMemory	13.23%	
WearDrive	0.87%	2.09%

Data analysis with k-means on the data set generated by 16 sensors at 1 Hz for 24 hours.

# Conclusion

WearDrive: Storage System for Wearables

- In-memory Storage System with battery-back DRAM (BB-RAM)
- 2 Extended Capabilities leveraging phone's storage and CPU
  - **Extended Lifetime** 
    - 3x improvement on battery life time

Thanks!

#### Jian Huang<sup>†</sup> jian.huang@gatech.edu

Anirudh Badam Ranveer Chandra Ed Nightingale

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)