Output in Window Systems and Toolkits
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Window Systems
Output (and input) normally done in context of a window system

- Should be familiar to all
- Developed to support metaphor of overlapping pieces of paper on a desk (desktop metaphor)
  - Good use of limited space
    - leverages human memory
  - Good/rich conceptual model
A little history...

- The BitBlt algorithm
  - Dan Ingalls, “Bit Block Transfer”
  - (Factoid: Same guy also invented pop-up menus)
- Introduced in Smalltalk 80
- Enabled real-time interaction with windows in the UI

- Why important?
  - Allowed fast transfer of blocks of bits between main memory and display memory
  - Fast transfer required for multiple overlapping windows
  - Xerox Alto had a BitBlt machine instruction
Goals of window systems

- Virtual devices (central goal)
  - virtual display abstraction
    - multiple raster surfaces to draw on
    - implemented on a single raster surface
    - illusion of contiguous non-overlapping surfaces
Virtual devices

- Also multiplexing of physical input devices
- May provide simulated or higher level “devices”
- Overall better use of very limited resources (e.g. screen space)
  - strong analogy to operating systems
  - Each application “owns” its own windows
  - Centralized support within the OS (usually)
    - X Windows: client/server running in user space
    - SunTools: window system runs in kernel
    - Windows/Mac: combination of both
Window system goals: Uniformity

- Uniformity of interface
  - two interfaces: UI and API
- Uniformity of UI
  - consistent “face” to the user
  - allows / enforces some uniformity across applications
    - but this is mostly done by toolkit
Uniformity

- Uniformity of API
  - provides virtual device abstraction
  - performs low level (e.g., drawing) operations
    - independent of actual devices
  - typically provides ways to integrate applications
    - minimum: cut and paste
Other issues in window systems

- Hierarchical windows
  - some systems allow windows within windows
    - don’t have to stick to analogs of physical display devices
  - child windows normally on top of parent and clipped to it
Issue: hierarchical windows

- Need at least 2 level hierarchy
  - Root window and “app” level

- Hierarchy turns out not to be that useful
  - Toolkit containers do the same kind of job (typically better)
Issue: damage / redraw mechanism

- Windows suffer “damage” when they are obscured then exposed (and when resized)
Damage / redraw mechanism

- Windows suffer “damage” when they are obscured then exposed (and when resized)

Wrong contents, needs redraw
Damage / redraw, how much is exposed?

- System may or may not maintain (and restore) obscured portions of windows
  - “Retained contents” model
  - For non-retained contents, application has to be asked to recreate / redraw damaged parts
Damage / redraw, how much is exposed?

- Have to be prepared to redraw anyway since larger windows create “new” content area
- But retained contents model is still very convenient (and efficient)
  - AWT doesn’t do this, its optional under Swing
Output in Toolkits

- Output (like most things) is organized around the interactor tree structure
  - Each object knows how to draw (and do other tasks) according to what it is, plus capabilities of children
  - Generic tasks, specialized to specific subclasses
Output Tasks in Toolkits

- Recall 3 main tasks
  - Damage management
  - Layout
  - (Re)draw
Damage Management

- Interactors draw on a certain screen area
- When screen image changes, need to schedule a redraw
  - Typically can’t “just draw it” because others may overlap or affect image
  - Would like to optimize redraw
Damage Management

• Typical scheme (e.g., in Swing) is to have each object report its own damage
  • Tells parent, which tells parent, etc.
  • Collect damaged region at top
  • Arrange for redraw of damaged area(s) at the top
    • Typically batched
    • Normally one enclosing rectangle
Redraw

- In response to damage, system schedules a redraw
- When redraw done, need to first ensure that everything is in the right place and is the right size
  ➔ Layout
Can We Just Size and Position as We Draw?
Can We Just Size and Position as We Draw?

- No.
  - Layout of first child might depend on last child’s size
    - Arbitrary dependencies
    - May not follow redraw order
- Need to complete layout prior to starting to draw
Layout Details

- Later in the course…

- But again, often tree structured
  - E.g., implemented as a traversal
    - Local part of layout +
    - Ask children to lay themselves out
(Re)draw

- Each object knows how to create its own appearance
  - Local drawing + request children to draw selves (tree traversal)

- Systems vary in details such as coordinate systems & clipping
  - E.g., Swing has parents clip children