

CS 1155 HW6 Sample Solutions

1. (10 points) Exercises 4.2, problem 18, page 211 of the text.

Prove $1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = (1 + 2 + \dots + n)^2$, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n i^3 = [\sum_{i=1}^n i]^2$ for all n in \mathbb{P} . Hint:

Use the identity in Example 2(b).

Basis:

$$\begin{aligned}n = 1: \quad \sum_{i=1}^1 i^3 &= [\sum_{i=1}^1 i]^2 \\1^3 &= 1^2 \\1 &= 1 \\&\text{true}\end{aligned}$$

Assume inductively that the claim is true for some positive integer $n = k$, i.e., that

$\sum_{i=1}^k i^3 = [\sum_{i=1}^k i]^2$. Need to show that this assumption implies that the claim is true for

$n = k + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^3 &= \sum_{i=1}^k i^3 + (k+1)^3 \\&= [\sum_{i=1}^k i]^2 + (k+1)^3 \\&= (k(k+1)/2)^2 + (k+1)^3 \\&= (k+1)^2(k/4 + (k+1)) \\&= (k+1)^2((k+4k+4)/4) \\&= (k+1)^2((k+2)/2)^2 \\&= (k+1)^2[(k+1)+1]/2]^2 \\&= [(k+1)((k+1)+1)/2]^2 \\&= [\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i]^2\end{aligned}$$

2. (10 points) Exercises 4.2, problem 22, page 211 of the text.
Prove that $8^{n+2} + 9^{2n+1}$ is divisible by 73 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Basis:

$$\begin{aligned}n = 0: \quad & 8^{0+2} + 9^{2(0)+1} \\ & = 8^2 + 9 \\ & = 64 + 9 \\ & = 73 \\ & \text{which is divisible by 73}\end{aligned}$$

Assume inductively that the claim is true for some $n = k$. Show that the assumption implies that the claim is true for $n = k + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}8^{(k+1)+2} + 9^{2(k+1)+1} &= 8^{k+3} + 9^{2k+3} \\ &= 8(8^{k+2} + 9^{2k+1}) + 9^{2k+3} - 8(9^{2k+1})\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis $8(8^{k+2} + 9^{2k+1})$ is divisible by 73.
So must show that $9^{2k+3} - 8(9^{2k+1})$ is divisible by 73.

$$\begin{aligned}9^{2k+3} - 8(9^{2k+1}) &= 9^{2k+1}(9^2 - 8) \\ &= 9^{2k+1}(81 - 8) \\ &= 9^{2k+1}(73) \\ & \text{which is divisible by 73}\end{aligned}$$

3. (15 points) Exercises 4.4, problem 8, page 23 of the text.
Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ and let s_n denote the number of words of length n that do not contain the string ab .

(a) Calculate s_0, s_1, s_2 and s_3 .

$$\begin{aligned}A_0 &= \{\lambda\} \\ A_1 &= \{a, b\} \\ A_2 &= \{aa, bb, ba\} \\ A_3 &= \{aaa, bbb, baa, bba\}\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}s_0 &= 1 \\ s_1 &= 2 \\ s_2 &= 3 \\ s_3 &= 4\end{aligned}$$

(b) Find a formula for s_n and prove it is correct.

This is similar to Example 4(a) on page 228.

To get a recurrence formula for s_n , consider $n \geq 1$. If a word in A_n ends in a , then a can be preceded by any word in A_{n-1} . So s_{n-1} words in A_n end in a . If a word A_n ends in b , then it must be all b 's. So 1 word in A_n ends in b . Thus, $s_n = s_{n-1} + 1$ is the recurrence relation for s_n and

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= s_{n-1} + 1 = s_{n-2} + 1 + 1 = s_{n-3} + 1 + 1 + 1 = \dots \\ &= s_{n-1} + 1 = s_{n-2} + 2(1) = s_{n-3} + 3(1) = \dots = s_{n-n} + n(1) = s_0 + n = 1 + n \end{aligned}$$

To show that this formula is correct, need to prove that it satisfies the recurrence conditions that define s_n , namely that $s_1 = 2$ and $s_n = s_{n-1} + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= 1 + n \\ s_1 &= 1 + 1 \\ 2 &= 2 \\ \text{true} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= s_{n-1} + 1 \\ 1 + n &= (1 + n - 1) + 1 \\ 1 + n &= 1 + n \\ \text{true} \end{aligned}$$

- 4. (15 points) Exercises 4.4, problem 12, page 234 of the text. Prove that the sequence M_1, M_2, \dots of matrices defined by**

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$M_n = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{FIB}(n+1) & \mathbf{FIB}(n) \\ \mathbf{FIB}(n) & \mathbf{FIB}(n-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

for $n \geq 3$ satisfies $M_{n+1} = M_1 \cdot M_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{P}$.

Basis:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 3: \quad M_{3+1} &= M_1 \cdot M_3 \\ M_4 &= M_1 \cdot M_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{FIB}(4+1) & \mathbf{FIB}(4) \\ \mathbf{FIB}(4) & \mathbf{FIB}(4-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{FIB}(3+1) & \mathbf{FIB}(3) \\ \mathbf{FIB}(3) & \mathbf{FIB}(3-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{FIB}(5) & \text{FIB}(4) \\ \text{FIB}(4) & \text{FIB}(3) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \text{FIB}(4) & \text{FIB}(3) \\ \text{FIB}(3) & \text{FIB}(2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1(3) + 1(2) & 1(2) + 1(1) \\ 1(3) + 0(2) & 1(2) + 0(1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

true

Assume inductively that the claim is true for $n = k$. Then, show that the assumption implies the claim is true for $n = k + 1$.

$$M_{k+1+1} = M_1 \bullet M_{k+1}$$

substitute using the inductive hypothesis

$$M_{k+2} = M_1 \bullet M_1 \bullet M_k$$

$$M_{k+2} = M_1 \bullet M_1 \bullet M_1 \bullet M_{k-1}$$

...

$$M_{k+2} = M_1 \bullet M_1 \bullet M_1 \bullet \dots \bullet M_1$$

there are $k + 2$ M_1 's

$$M_{k+2} = M^{k+2}$$

substitute using the definition of the sequence and Example 7, page 231

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{FIB}(k+2+1) & \text{FIB}(k+2) \\ \text{FIB}(k+2) & \text{FIB}(k+2-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{FIB}(k+2+1) & \text{FIB}(k+2) \\ \text{FIB}(k+2) & \text{FIB}(k+2-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

true

5. (10 points)

Consider the definition of a Fibonacci sequence $FIB(n)$ in example 3(a), page 228 of the text. Prove, by induction, that for all integers $k \geq 1$,

$$FIB_{k+2} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k FIB_i.$$

Basis:

$$k = 1: FIB_{1+2} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^1 FIB_i$$

$$FIB_3 = 1 + 1$$

$$2 = 2$$

true

Assume inductively that the claim is true for some positive k . Need to show that the assumption implies the claim is true for $k + 1$.

$$FIB_{(k+1)+2} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} FIB_i$$

$$FIB_{k+3} = 1 + FIB_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^k FIB_i$$

substitute the inductive hypothesis

$$FIB_{k+3} = FIB_{k+1} + FIB_{k+2}$$

true from the definition of the Fibonacci sequence

$FIB(n) = FIB(n - 1) + FIB(n - 2)$ where $k + 3$ is substituted for n .

6. (10 points) Let $m = \alpha + \beta$ and $a = \alpha\beta$, where $m \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Let $A_2 = m - a/(m - 1)$. For $k > 1$, let $A_{k+1} = m - a/A_k$. Prove that, for $n \geq 2$,

$$A_n = [(\alpha^{n+1} - \beta^{n+1}) - (\alpha^n - \beta^n)] / [(\alpha^n - \beta^n) - (\alpha^{n-1} - \beta^{n-1})]$$

Basis:

$$n = 2: A_2 = [(\alpha^{2+1} - \beta^{2+1}) - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)] / [(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - (\alpha^{2-1} - \beta^{2-1})]$$

$$A_2 = [(\alpha^3 - \beta^3) - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)] / [(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - (\alpha - \beta)]$$

$$A_2 = [(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2) - (\alpha - \beta)(\alpha + \beta)] / [(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha + \beta) - (\alpha - \beta)]$$

$$A_2 = [(\alpha - \beta)((\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2) - (\alpha + \beta))] / [(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha + \beta - 1)]$$

$$A_2 = [(\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2) - (\alpha + \beta)] / (\alpha + \beta - 1)$$

$$A_2 = [(\alpha + \beta)^2 - 2\alpha\beta + \alpha\beta - (\alpha + \beta)] / (\alpha + \beta - 1)$$

$$A_2 = (m^2 - 2a + a - m)/(m - 1)$$

$$A_2 = [m(m - 1) - a]/(m - 1)$$

$$A_2 = m - a/(m - 1)$$

true

Assume inductively that the claim is true for some positive k. Need to show that the assumption implies the claim is true for k + 1.

$$A_{k+1} = m - a/A_k$$

$$A_{k+1} = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta/\{[(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) - (\alpha^k - \beta^k)]/[(\alpha^k - \beta^k) - (\alpha^{k-1} - \beta^{k-1})]\}$$

$$A_{k+1} = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta[(\alpha^k - \beta^k) - (\alpha^{k-1} - \beta^{k-1})]/[(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) - (\alpha^k - \beta^k)]$$

$$A_{k+1} = \{(\alpha + \beta)[(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) - (\alpha^k - \beta^k)] - \alpha\beta[(\alpha^k - \beta^k) - (\alpha^{k-1} - \beta^{k-1})]/[(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) - (\alpha^k - \beta^k)]$$

$$A_{k+1} = [(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1} - \alpha^k + \beta^k) - \alpha\beta(\alpha^k - \beta^k - \alpha^{k-1} + \beta^{k-1})]/[(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) - (\alpha^k - \beta^k)]$$

$$A_{k+1} = (\alpha^{k+2} - \alpha\beta^{k+1} - \alpha^{k+1} + \alpha\beta^k + \alpha^{k+1}\beta - \beta^{k+2} - \alpha^k\beta + \beta^{k+1} - \alpha^{k+1}\beta + \alpha\beta^{k+1} + \alpha^k\beta - \alpha\beta^k)/[(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) - (\alpha^k - \beta^k)]$$

$$A_{k+1} = (\alpha^{k+2} - \alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+2} + \beta^{k+1})/[(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) - (\alpha^k - \beta^k)]$$

$$A_{k+1} = [(\alpha^{(k+1)+1} - \beta^{(k+1)+1}) - (\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1})]/[(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) - (\alpha^{(k+1)-1} - \beta^{(k+1)-1})]$$

true

7. (15 points) Let R be a binary relation on a set S with n elements. Let $R_0 = R$. Define R_{i+1} from R_i as follows:

$$R_{i+1} = R_i \cup \{(a, c) \mid (a, b) \in R_i \text{ and } (b, c) \in R_i\}.$$

Show that if $R_i = R_{i+1}$ for some $i \geq 0$, then $R_i = R_j$ for all $j > i$.

Basis:

$$i = 0: R_{0+1} = R_0 \cup \{(a, c) \mid (a, b) \in R_0 \text{ and } (b, c) \in R_0\}$$

$$R_1 = R_0 \cup \{\text{subset of } R_0\}$$

$$R_1 = R_0$$

true

Assume inductively that the claim is true for all positive integers $0 \leq i < k$. Need to show that the claim is true for $k + 1$.

If we set $k = i + 1$, then the assumption holds since $0 \leq i < k$ and $R_i = R_{i+1}$

So $k + 1 = i + 2$

$R_{i+2} = R_{i+1} \cup \{\text{subset of } R_{i+1}\}$

$R_{i+2} = R_{i+1}$

Substitute using the induction hypothesis

$R_{i+2} = R_i$

So for $k + 1 = i + 2 = j$, where $0 \leq i < j$ the assumption still holds and $R_j = R_i$

- 8. Consider the recursive definition of the language L as follows: L consists of all strings over {0, 1} obtained from the basis step by a finite number of applications on the recursive step:**

Basis: The empty string is in L;

Recursive: If $x \in L$ then $11x0 \in L$.

- (a) (5 points) How many strings of length k exist for each $k \geq 0$?**

for strings of length $3k$, there is 1 string

for strings any other length, there are 0 strings

$s_n = 1$ if n is divisible by 3

$= 0$ otherwise

- (b) (10 points) Prove, by induction, that for every string $w \in L$ the number of 1's is twice the number of 0's.**

Note that each string increases in length by 3 (add two 1's and one 0) to make the next string. So one can write a recurrence relation based on the length k .

$k_n = 3 + k_{n-1}$

which is the same as

$k_n = |11| + |0| + k_{n-1}$

where k_n is the length of the n th string in the language and you add the length of two 1's and the length of one 0 since these are always going to be put onto the previous string to make the next one.

Basis:

$n = 1$: $k_1 = 2 + 1 k_0$ (k_0 is λ , and k_1 is 110)

$3 = 3 + 0$

$3 = 3$

true

Assume inductively that the claim is true for all positive integers $0 \leq n$. Need to show that the claim is true for $n + 1$.

$$k_{n+1} = |11| + |0| + k_n$$

$$k_{n+1} = |11| + |0| + |11| + |0| + k_{n-1}$$

From the inductive hypothesis, we know that k_{n-1} has twice as many 1's as there are 0's. From inspection, we see that $|11| + |0| + |11| + |0|$ has twice as many 1's (a total of 4) as there are 0's (a total of 2). So we see that for every 0 added to a string there are two 1's added. Thus for every string $w \in L$, the number of 1's is twice the number of 0's.