Concerned with how classes and objects are composed to form larger structures.

- Structural class patterns use inheritance to compose interfaces or implementations. (Adapter)
- Rather than composing interfaces or implementations, structural object patterns describe ways to compose objects to realize new functionality. The added flexibility of object composition comes from the ability to change the composition at run-time, which is impossible with static class/interface composition. (Composite)
Adapter (A.K.A Wrapper)

**Intent:** Convert the interface of a class into another interface clients expect. Adapter lets classes work together that couldn’t otherwise because of incompatible interfaces.

**Structure**

![Diagram of Adapter Pattern]

**Participants**

- **Target** defines the domain-specific interface that Client uses.
- **Client** collaborates with objects conforming to the Target interface.
- **Adaptee** defines an existing interface that needs adapting.
- **Adapter** adapts the interface of Adaptee to the Target interface.
Imagine we’re a team writing an application that will use a hardware transmitter, but the transmitter’s software is handled by another team that hasn’t defined their software interface.

We can define our own interface the way we want it to work.

While we’re waiting for the transmitter team, we create a fake implementation to work with.

When the transmitter team finally gives us their interface, we can write an adapter to fit it to our interface.

The rest of our code is unaffected.
Composite

Intent: Compose objects into tree structures to represent part-whole hierarchies. Composite lets clients treat individual objects and compositions of objects uniformly.

Structure

Participants

- **Component** declares the interface for objects in the composition.
- **Leaf** represents leaf objects (objects with no children).
- **Composite** defines behavior for components having children; stores child components; implements child-related operations.
- **Client** manipulates objects in the composition through the Component interface.
Say we have a dive log with individual dives. Both represent the concept of dive experience, so we can represent this concept abstractly which allows us to get reports of dive experience in a uniform way whether we have a single dive or a log of several dives:

```java
public interface DiveExperience {
    public Date getDateTimeBegin();
    public Date getDateTimeEnd();
    public int getMaxDepthFeet();
    public int getBottomTimeMinutes();
}
```

This interface plays the **Component** role in the composite pattern.
Dive plays the Leaf role:

```java
public class Dive implements DiveExperience, Comparable<Dive> {
    private Date dateTimeBegin, dateTimeEnd;
    private int maxDepthFeet, bottomTimeMinutes;

    public Dive(Date dateTimeBegin, Date dateTimeEnd,
                int maxDepthFeet, int bottomTimeMinutes) {
        this.dateTimeBegin = dateTimeBegin;
        // ...
    }

    public Date getDateTimeBegin() { return dateTimeBegin; }
    public Date getDateTimeEnd() { return dateTimeEnd; }
    public int getMaxDepthFeet() { return maxDepthFeet; }
    public int getBottomTimeMinutes() { return bottomTimeMinutes; }

    public int compareTo(Dive other) {
        return this.getDateTimeBegin().compareTo(other.getDateTimeBegin());
    }

    public boolean equals(Object other) { .. }

    public int hashCode() { ... }
}
```
And `DiveLog` plays the **Composite** role:

```java
public class DiveLog implements DiveExperience {
    private TreeSet<Dive> dives = new TreeSet<Dive>();
    private int maxDepthFeet = 0;
    public void add(Dive dive) {
        dives.add(dive);
        if (dive.getMaxDepthFeet() > maxDepthFeet)
            maxDepthFeet = dive.getMaxDepthFeet();
    }
    public Date getDateTimeBegin() {
        return dives.first().getDateTimeBegin();
    }
    public Date getDateTimeEnd() {
        return dives.last().getDateTimeEnd();
    }
    public int getMaxDepthFeet() { return maxDepthFeet; }
    public int getBottomTimeMinutes() {
        int sum = 0;
        for (Dive dive : dives) {
            sum += dive.getBottomTimeMinutes();
        }
        return sum;
    }
}
```
Decorator

**Intent**: Attach additional responsibilities to an object dynamically. Decorators provide a flexible alternative to subclassing for extending functionality.

**Structure**

![Component diagram]

**Participants**

- **Component** defines the interface for objects that can have responsibilities added to them dynamically.
- **ConcreteComponent** defines an object to which additional responsibilities can be attached.
- **Decorator** maintains a reference to a Component object and defines an interface that conforms to Component’s interface.
Decorator Example: JScrollPane

The Swing library provides a scrollbar decorator called JScrollPane. Using it is easy:

```
add(new JScrollPane(new JList(...)));
```

By simply wrapping our JList in a JScrollPane the list will show horizontal and vertical scroll bars as needed.

We’ve extended the functionality of a JList without having to subclass it.