

Hybrid encryption

- Asymmetric encryption uses number-theoretic operations and is slower than symmetric encryption that often uses block ciphers.
- Also we often want to encrypt long messages.
- In practice one usually
 - encrypts a randomly chosen symmetric key K using an asymmetric encryption algorithm and then
 - encrypts a message using a symmetric encryption algorithm and K .
- This is called hybrid encryption

1

Hybrid encryption

- Let $\mathcal{AE} = (\mathcal{K}^a, \mathcal{E}^a, \mathcal{D}^a)$ be an asymmetric encryption scheme and let $\mathcal{SE} = (\mathcal{K}^s, \mathcal{E}^s, \mathcal{D}^s)$ be a symmetric encryption scheme, s.t. the set of keys for \mathcal{SE} is always in the message space of \mathcal{AE} .
- Then the associated hybrid scheme $\overline{\mathcal{AE}} = (\mathcal{K}^a, \overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\mathcal{D}})$ is as follows:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Algorithm $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pk}(M)$ $K \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{K}^s; C^s \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{E}_{K}^s(M)$ If $C^s = \perp$ then return \perp $C^a \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{E}_{pk}^a(K); C \leftarrow (C^a, C^s)$ Return C | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Algorithm $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{sk}(C)$ Parse C as (C^a, C^s) $K \leftarrow \mathcal{D}_{sk}^a(C^a)$ If $K = \perp$ then return \perp $M \leftarrow \mathcal{D}_K^s(C^s)$ Return M |
|--|--|

- Note that the hybrid scheme is an asymmetric encryption scheme

2

Hybrid encryption

- Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{AE} = (\mathcal{K}^a, \mathcal{E}^a, \mathcal{D}^a)$ be an asymmetric encryption scheme and let $\mathcal{SE} = (\mathcal{K}^s, \mathcal{E}^s, \mathcal{D}^s)$ be a symmetric encryption scheme, s.t. the set of keys for \mathcal{SE} is always in the message space of \mathcal{AE} . Let $\overline{\mathcal{AE}} = (\mathcal{K}^a, \overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\mathcal{D}})$ be the associated hybrid scheme as defined on the previous slide. Then for any adversary B there exist adversaries $A_{00,01}, A_{10,11}, A$ s.t.
- $$\text{Adv}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(B) \leq \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(A_{00,01}) + \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(A_{11,10}) + q \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(A)$$

and $A_{00,01}, A_{10,11}$ have time complexity of B , make the same number of queries, each of length k (symmetric key length), and A has time complexity of B and makes only one query.

- Corollary.** If the components are IND-CPA, then the associated hybrid scheme is also IND-CPA.

3

- Proof.** The proof will use a hybrid argument. We will define a sequence of 4 experiments associated with B

$$\text{Exp}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{00}(B), \text{Exp}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{01}(B), \text{Exp}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{11}(B), \text{Exp}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{10}(B)$$

and define

$$P(\alpha, \beta) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{\alpha\beta}(B) = 1]$$

It will be the case that

$$P(1, 0) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{\text{ind-cpa-1}}(B) = 1]$$

$$P(0, 0) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{\text{ind-cpa-0}}(B) = 1]$$

and thus $\text{Adv}_{\overline{\mathcal{AE}}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(B) = P(1, 0) - P(0, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= P(1, 0) - P(1, 1) + P(1, 1) - P(0, 1) + P(0, 1) - P(0, 0) \\ &= [P(1, 0) - P(1, 1)] + [P(1, 1) - P(0, 1)] + [P(0, 1) - P(0, 0)] \end{aligned}$$

4

We will construct adversaries $A_{00,01}, A_{00,01}$ s.t

$$P(0,1) - P(0,0) \leq \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(A_{01,00})$$

$$P(1,1) - P(0,1) \leq \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(A)$$

$$P(1,0) - P(1,1) \leq \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(A_{10,11})$$

and the theorem statement will follow.

5

We now define the 4 experiments that use different oracles

$$\mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{00}(\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{01}(\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{11}(\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{10}(\cdot, \cdot)$$

For all possible bits α, β define

Experiment $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\alpha\beta}(B)$ $(pk, sk) \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a$ $d \leftarrow B^{\mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{\alpha\beta}(\cdot, \cdot)}(pk)$ Return d	Oracle $\mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{00}(M_0, M_1)$ $K_0 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a; K_1 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a$ $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(K_0, \overline{M_0})$ If $C^a = \perp$ then return \perp $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(pk, \overline{K_0})$ $C \leftarrow (C^a, C^a)$ Return C	Oracle $\mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{01}(M_0, M_1)$ $K_0 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a; K_1 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a$ $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(K_0, \overline{M_0})$ If $C^a = \perp$ then return \perp $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(pk, \overline{K_1})$ $C \leftarrow (C^a, C^a)$ Return C
	Oracle $\mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{11}(M_0, M_1)$ $K_0 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a; K_1 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a$ $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(K_0, \overline{M_1})$ If $C^a = \perp$ then return \perp $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(pk, \overline{K_1})$ $C \leftarrow (C^a, C^a)$ Return C	Oracle $\mathcal{HE}_{pk}^{10}(M_0, M_1)$ $K_0 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a; K_1 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a$ $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(K_0, \overline{M_1})$ If $C^a = \perp$ then return \perp $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(pk, \overline{K_0})$ $C \leftarrow (C^a, C^a)$ Return C

6

Check that

$$P(1,0) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa-1}}(B) = 1]$$

$$P(0,0) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa-0}}(B) = 1]$$

7

We now construct adversaries $A_{00,01}, A_{10,11}$

Adversary $A_{00,00}^{\mathcal{E}^a(\text{LR}(\cdot, b))}(pk)$ Subroutine $\mathcal{OE}(M_0, M_1)$ $K_0 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a; K_1 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a$ $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(K_0, M_0)$ If $C^a = \perp$ then return \perp $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}_{pk}^a(\text{LR}(K_0, K_1, b))$ Return (C^a, C^a) End Subroutine $d \xleftarrow{\$} B^{\mathcal{OE}(\cdot, \cdot)}(pk)$ Return d	Adversary $A_{00,11}^{\mathcal{E}^a(\text{LR}(\cdot, b))}(pk)$ Subroutine $\mathcal{OE}(M_0, M_1)$ $K_0 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a; K_1 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a$ $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(K_0, M_1)$ If $C^a = \perp$ then return \perp $C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}_{pk}^a(\text{LR}(K_1, K_0, b))$ Return (C^a, C^a) End Subroutine $d \xleftarrow{\$} B^{\mathcal{OE}(\cdot, \cdot)}(pk)$ Return d
---	---

$$\text{Check that } \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa-1}}(A_{01,00}) = 1] = \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{01}(B) = 1]$$

$$\Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa-0}}(A_{01,00}) = 1] = \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{00}(B) = 1]$$

$$\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{AE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(A_{01,00}) = P(0,1) - P(0,0)$$

Similarly for $A_{10,11}$

8

We now construct A . As A can make only 1 query, the construction will require another sequence of hybrid arguments

$$\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^0(B), \text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^1(B), \dots, \text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^q(B)$$

Define $P(i) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^i(B) = 1]$

<p>Oracle $\mathcal{HE}_{pk}^i(M_0, M_1)$</p> <p>$j \leftarrow j + 1$</p> <p>$K_0 \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{K}^s; K_1 \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{K}^s$</p> <p>If $j \leq i$</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">then $C^s \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{E}^s(K_0, \overline{M_1})$</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">else $C^s \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{E}^s(K_0, \overline{M_0})$</p> <p>EndIf</p> <p>If $C^s = \perp$ then return \perp</p> <p>$C^a \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{E}^a(pk, \overline{K_1})$</p> <p>$C \leftarrow (C^a, C^s)$</p> <p>Return C</p>	<p>Experiment $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{AE}}^i(B)$</p> <p>$(pk, sk) \xleftarrow{\\$} \mathcal{K}^s$</p> <p>$d \leftarrow B^{\mathcal{HE}_{pk}(\cdot)}(pk)$</p> <p>Return d</p> <p>Check that</p> <p>$P(0, 1) = P(0)$ and $P(1, 1) = P(q)$</p>
---	---

9

$$\begin{aligned} P(1, 1) - P(0, 1) &= P(q) - P(0) \\ &= P(q) - P(q-1) + P(q-1) - \dots - P(1) + P(1) - P(0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^q [P(i) - P(i-1)]. \end{aligned}$$

10

Adversary $\mathcal{AE}_K^{\mathcal{LR}(\cdot, b)}$

$(pk, sk) \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^a; j \leftarrow 0; I \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, q\}$

Subroutine $\mathcal{CE}(M_0, M_1)$

$j \leftarrow j + 1$

$K_0 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^s; K_1 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{K}^s$

If $j < I$ then $C^s \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^s(K_0, \overline{M_1})$ EndIf

If $j = I$ then $C^s \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}_K^s(\mathcal{LR}(M_0, M_1, b))$ EndIf

If $j > I$ then $C^s \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^s(K_0, \overline{M_0})$ EndIf

If $C^s = \perp$ then return \perp

$C^a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{E}^a(pk, \overline{K_1})$

Return (C^a, C^s)

End Subroutine

$d \xleftarrow{\$} B^{\mathcal{CE}(\cdot)}(pk)$

Return d

Check that $\Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}^{-1}}(A) = 1 \mid I = i] = P(i)$

$\Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}^0}(A) = 1 \mid I = i] = P(i-1)$

11

Analyzing A we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}}(A) &= \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}^{-1}}(A) = 1] - \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}^0}(A) = 1] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^q \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}^{-1}}(A) = 1 \mid I = i] \cdot \Pr[I = i] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^q \Pr[\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{SE}}^{\text{ind-cpa}^0}(A) = 1 \mid I = i] \cdot \Pr[I = i] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^q P(i) \cdot \Pr[I = i] - \sum_{i=1}^q P(i-1) \cdot \Pr[I = i] \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^q P(i) - P(i-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \cdot [P(1, 1) - P(0, 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

12

- Note that a symmetric encryption scheme can satisfy a definition weaker than IND-CPA (as in the proof A makes only one query to the LR oracle.)
 - In particular, the symmetric scheme can be deterministic
 - This is because a new symmetric key is picked for each message
- An analogous theorem can be stated and proved for the case of chosen-ciphertext attacks (if the components are IND-CCA secure, then the hybrid scheme is IND-CCA secure).