Topics:

- Reinforcement Learning Part 3
 - Policy Gradients

CS 4644-DL / 7643-A ZSOLT KIRA

RL: Sequential decision making in an environment with evaluative feedback.



Environment may be unknown, non-linear, stochastic and complex.

Agent learns a policy to map states of the environments to actions.

Seeking to maximize cumulative reward in the long run.

What is Reinforcement Learning?

Georgia Tech∦

- MDPs: Theoretical framework underlying RL
- An MDP is defined as a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{T}, \gamma)$
 - ${\mathcal S}$: Set of possible states
 - ${\cal A}\,$: Set of possible actions
 - $\mathcal{R}(s,a,s')$: Distribution of reward
 - $\mathbb{T}(s, a, s')$: Transition probability distribution, also written as p(s'|s,a)
 - γ : Discount factor





- MDPs: Theoretical framework underlying RL
- An MDP is defined as a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{T}, \gamma)$
 - ${\mathcal S}$: Set of possible states
 - ${\cal A}\,$: Set of possible actions
 - $\mathcal{R}(s, a, s')$: Distribution of reward
 - $\mathbb{T}(s, a, s')$: Transition probability distribution, also written as p(s'|s,a)
 - γ : Discount factor
- Interaction trajectory: $\ldots s_t, a_t, r_{t+1}, s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, r_{t+2}, s_{t+2}, \ldots$

Markov Decision Processes (MDPs)



What we want



Some intermediate concepts and terms

A **Value function** (how good is a state?) $V: \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R} \quad V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{t \ge 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, \pi \right|$ A Q-Value function (how good is a state-action pair?) $Q: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R} \quad Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t \ge 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, a_0 = a, \pi\right]$

 $Q^*(s,a) = \mathbb{E}_{\gamma(s'|s,a)} [r(s,a) + \gamma V^*(s')]$ (Math in previous lecture)

Equalities relating optimal quantities

a

We can then derive the Bellman Equation

$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{a} Q^{*}(s, a)$$

$$Q^{*}(s, a) = \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a) + \gamma \max_{a} Q^{*}(s', a') \right]$$
This must hold true for an optimal Q-Value!
-> Leads to dynamic programming algorithm to find it

Summary of Last Time

Georgia

Q-Learning

• We'd like to do Q-value updates to each Q-state:

$$Q_{k+1}(s,a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') \left[R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s',a') \right]$$

- But can't compute this update without knowing T, R
- Instead, compute average as we go
 - Receive a sample transition (s,a,r,s')
 - This sample suggests

$$Q(s,a) \approx r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a')$$

- But we want to average over results from (s,a)
- So keep a running average

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)Q(s,a) + (\alpha)\left[r + \gamma \max_{a'}Q(s',a')\right]$$

Georgia Tech

Slide Credit: http://ai.berkeley.edu



$$Q(s,a;w,b) = w_a^\top s + b_a$$

Has some theoretical guarantees

- Deep Q-Learning: Fit a deep Q-Network $\,Q(s,a; heta)$
 - Works well in practice
 - Q-Network can take RGB images



Image Credits: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n







Deep Q-Learning Algorithm

Georgia Tech

Atari Games



- **Objective**: Complete the game with the highest score
- **State**: Raw pixel inputs of the game state
- Action: Game controls e.g. Left, Right, Up, Down
- **Reward**: Score increase/decrease at each time step

Figures copyright Volodymyr Mnih et al., 2013. Reproduced with permission.

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

Case study: Playing Atari Games

Georgia Tech

Atari Games





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V1eYniJ0Rnk

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

Case study: Playing Atari Games



In today's class, we looked at

- Dynamic Programming
 - Value, Q-Value Iteration

Reinforcement Learning (RL)

- The challenges of (deep) learning based methods
- Value-based RL algorithms
 - Deep Q-Learning

Now:

Policy-based RL algorithms (policy gradients)





Policy Gradients, Actor-Critic





- Class of policies defined by parameters heta

$$\pi_{\theta}(a|s): \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$$

- Eg: heta can be parameters of linear transformation, deep network, etc.

Want to maximize:
$$J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \mathcal{R}(s_t, a_t)\right]$$

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \mathcal{R}(s_t, a_t) \right] \longrightarrow \theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \mathcal{R}(s_t, a_t) \right]$$

Parametrized Policy







Pong from Pixels





Image Source: http://karpathy.github.io/2016/05/31/rl/

Policy Gradient: Loss Function Georgia



Let
$$au = (s_0, a_0, \dots s_T, a_T)$$
 denote a trajectory

$$\pi_{\theta}(\tau) = p_{\theta}(\tau) = p_{\theta}(s_0, a_0, \dots, s_T, a_T)$$
$$= p(s_0) \prod_{t=0}^T p_{\theta}(a_t \mid s_t) \cdot p(s_{t+1} \mid s_t, a_t)$$

$$\arg\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\mathcal{R}(\tau) \right]$$

Gathering Data/Experience



$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\mathcal{R}(\tau) \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{a_{t} \sim \pi(\cdot|s_{t}), s_{t+1} \sim p(\cdot|s_{t}, a_{t})} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T} \mathcal{R}(s_{t}, a_{t}) \right]$$

- How to gather data?
 - We already have a policy: $\pi_{ heta}$
 - Sample N trajectories $\{ au_i\}_{i=1}^N$ by acting according to $\pi_ heta$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} r(s_t^i, a_t^i)$$

Gathering Data/Experience



- Sample trajectories $au_i = \{s_1, a_1, \dots s_T, a_T\}_i$ by acting according to $\pi_{ heta}$
- Compute policy gradient as

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx$$
 ?

• Update policy parameters:
$$heta \leftarrow heta + lpha
abla_ heta J(heta)$$



$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) &= \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} [\mathcal{R}(\tau)] \\ &= \nabla_{\theta} \int \pi_{\theta}(\tau) \mathcal{R}(\tau) d\tau & \text{Expectation as integral} \\ &= \int \nabla_{\theta} \pi_{\theta}(\tau) \mathcal{R}(\tau) d\tau & \text{Exchange integral and gradient} \\ &= \int \nabla_{\theta} \pi_{\theta}(\tau) \cdot \frac{\pi_{\theta}(\tau)}{\pi_{\theta}(\tau)} \cdot \mathcal{R}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int \pi_{\theta}(\tau) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\tau) \mathcal{R}(\tau) d\tau & \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi(\tau) = \frac{\nabla_{\theta} \pi(\tau)}{\pi(\tau)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\tau) \mathcal{R}(\tau)] \end{split}$$

Deriving The Policy Gradient

Georgia Tech≬

$$\pi_{\theta}(\tau) = p(s_{0}) \prod_{t=0}^{T} p_{\theta}(a_{t} \mid s_{t}) \cdot p(s_{t+1} \mid s_{t}, a_{t})$$

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\tau) \mathcal{R}(\tau) \right]$$

$$\nabla_{\theta} \left[\log_{T} p(s_{0}) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_{t} \mid s_{t}) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log_{T} p(s_{t+1} \mid s_{t}, s_{t}) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_{t} \mid s_{t}) \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathcal{R}(s_{t}, a_{t}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{s_{t}} \sum_{q \in [s_{t}]} \sum_{\pi_{\theta}(a_{t} \mid s_{t})} \sum_{q \in [s_{t}]} \mathbb{E}_{q} \mathcal{R}(s_{t}, s_{t}) \right]$$

$$= Continuous Action Space?$$

$$Deriving The Policy Gradient$$

- Sample trajectories $\tau_i = \{s_1, a_1, \dots, s_T, a_T\}_i$ by acting according to π_{θ}
- Compute policy gradient as

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta} \left(a_{t}^{i} \mid s_{t}^{i} \right) \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathcal{R} \left(s_{t}^{i} \mid a_{t}^{i} \right) \right]$$

- Update policy parameters:
$$\, heta \leftarrow heta + lpha
abla_ heta J(heta)$$





Slide credit: Dhruv Batra

Drawbacks of Policy Gradients

Georgia Tech 🛛

Issues with Policy Gradients

- Credit assignment is hard!
 - Which specific action led to increase in reward
 - Suffers from high variance \rightarrow leading to unstable training



Variance reduction

Gradient estimator:
$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \sum_{t \ge 0} r(\tau) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t)$$

First idea: Push up probabilities of an action seen, only by the cumulative future reward from that state

 $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \sum_{t \ge 0} \left(\sum_{t' \ge t} r_{t'} \right) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t)$



Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

Variance reduction

Gradient estimator:
$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \sum_{t \ge 0} r(\tau) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t)$$

First idea: Push up probabilities of an action seen, only by the cumulative future reward from that state

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \sum_{t \ge 0} \left(\sum_{t' \ge t} r_{t'} \right) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t)$$

Second idea: Use discount factor γ to ignore delayed effects

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \sum_{t \ge 0} \left(\sum_{t' \ge t} \gamma^{t'-t} r_{t'} \right) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t)$$



Georgia Tech

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

- Credit assignment is hard!
 - Which specific action led to increase in reward
 - Suffers from high variance, leading to unstable training
- How to reduce the variance?
 - Subtract an action independent baseline from the reward

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta} \left(a_t \mid s_t \right) \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\mathcal{R} \left(s_t, a_t \right) - b(s_t) \right) \right]$$

- Why does it work? Normalization constant (expected value doesn't change)
- What is the best choice of b?

Drawbacks of Policy Gradients

Georgia Tech

How to choose the baseline?

A better baseline: Want to push up the probability of an action from a state, if this action was better than the **expected value of what we should get from that state**.

Q: What does this remind you of?



Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n



How to choose the baseline?

A better baseline: Want to push up the probability of an action from a state, if this action was better than the **expected value of what we should get from that state**.

Q: What does this remind you of?

A: Q-function and value function!



Georgia Tech

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

- Learn both policy and Q function
 - Use the "actor" to sample trajectories
 - Use the Q function to "evaluate" or "critic" the policy



- Learn both policy and Q function
 - Use the "actor" to sample trajectories
 - Use the Q function to "evaluate" or "critic" the policy

• REINFORCE:
$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) \mathcal{R}(s,a) \right]$$

• Actor-critic: $\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s,a) \right]$



- Learn both policy and Q function
 - Use the "actor" to sample trajectories
 - Use the Q function to "evaluate" or "critic" the policy
- REINFORCE: $\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) \mathcal{R}(s,a) \right]$
- Actor-critic: $\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) \right]$
- Q function is unknown too! Update using $\mathcal{R}(s,a)$



• Initialize s, θ (policy network) and β (Q network)



- Initialize s, θ (policy network) and β (Q network)
- sample action $a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)$



- Initialize s, θ (policy network) and β (Q network)
- sample action $a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)$
- For each step:

– Sample reward $\mathcal{R}(s,a)$ and next state $s' \sim p(s'|s,a)$



- Initialize s, θ (policy network) and β (Q network)
- sample action $a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)$
- For each step:
 - Sample reward $\mathcal{R}(s, a)$ and next state $s' \sim p(s'|s, a)$
 - evaluate "actor" using "critic" $Q_{\beta}(s, a)$



- Initialize s, θ (policy network) and β (Q network)
- sample action $a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)$
- For each step:
 - Sample reward $\mathcal{R}(s,a)$ and next state $s' \sim p(s'|s,a)$
 - evaluate "actor" using "critic" $Q_{\beta}(s,a)$ and update policy:

 $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a \mid s) Q_{\beta}(s, a)$



- Initialize s, θ (policy network) and β (Q network)
- sample action $a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)$
- For each step:
 - Sample reward $\mathcal{R}(s, a)$ and next state $s' \sim p(s'|s, a)$
 - evaluate "actor" using "critic" $Q_{\beta}(s,a)$ and update policy:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a \mid s) Q_{\beta}(s, a)$$

- Update "critic": MSE Loss := $\left(Q_{new}(s, a) - (r + \max_{a} Q_{old}(s', a))\right)^2$

• Recall Q-learning

Actor-Critic



- Initialize s, θ (policy network) and β (Q network)
- sample action $a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)$
- For each step:
 - Sample reward $\mathcal{R}(s, a)$ and next state $s' \sim p(s'|s, a)$
 - evaluate "actor" using "critic" $Q_{\beta}(s, a)$ and update policy:

 $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a \mid s) Q_{\beta}(s, a)$

- Update "critic":
 - Recall Q-learning MSE Loss := $\left(Q_{new}(s, a) (r + \max_{a} Q_{old}(s', a))\right)^2$

$$a \leftarrow a', s \leftarrow s'$$

- Update β Accordingly

Actor-Critic

Georgia Tech

How to choose the baseline?

A better baseline: Want to push up the probability of an action from a state, if this action was better than the **expected value of what we should get from that state**.

Q: What does this remind you of?

A: Q-function and value function!

Intuitively, we are happy with an action a_t in a state s_t if $Q^{\pi}(s_t, a_t) - V^{\pi}(s_t)$ is large. On the contrary, we are unhappy with an action if it's small.

Using this, we get the estimator: $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \sum_{t \ge 0} (Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_t, a_t) - V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_t)) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t)$



Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

Georg

• In general, replacing the policy evaluation or the "critic" leads to different flavors of the actor-critic - REINFORCE: $\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) \mathcal{R}(s, a) \right]$

 $-\mathsf{Q}-\mathsf{Actor Critic} \ \nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s,a) \right]$

- Advantage Actor Critic: $\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s) A^{\pi_{\theta}}(s,a) \right]$ = $Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s,a) - V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s)$



Summary

- Policy Learning:
 - Policy gradients
 - REINFORCE
 - Reducing Variance (Homework!)
- Actor-Critic:
 - Other ways of performing "policy evaluation"
 - Variants of Actor-critic



Summary

- **Policy gradients**: very general but suffer from high variance so requires a lot of samples. **Challenge**: sample-efficiency
- **Q-learning**: does not always work but when it works, usually more sample-efficient. **Challenge**: exploration

- Guarantees:

- **Policy Gradients**: Converges to a local minima of $J(\theta)$, often good enough!
- **Q-learning**: Zero guarantees since you are approximating Bellman equation with a complicated function approximator



• Sparse long-horizon tasks (Montezuma's revenge)

- Imitation Learning, inverse reinforcement learning
- Sim2Real Simulation to real, domain randomization
- Lifelong Learning
- Safety
- World Models

Open Problems / Challenges



Playing Go

Rules

- Each player puts a stone on the goban, black first
- Each stone remains on the goban, except:



group w/o degree freedom is killed a group with two eyes can't be killed ► The goal is to control the max. territory



Go is a Difficult Game

Features

- Size of the state space 2.10¹⁷⁰
- Size of the action space 200
- No good evaluation function
- Local and global features (symmetries, freedom, ...)
- A move might make a difference some dozen plies later





AlphaGo

- Go is a perfect information game
 - See entire board at all times
 - Has an optimal value function!
- Key idea: We cannot unroll search tree to learn a policy/value for a large number of states, instead:
 - Reduce depth of search via **position evaluation**: Replace subtrees with estimated value function v(s)
 - Reduce breadth of search via **action sampling**: Don't perform unlikely actions
 - Start by predicting expert actions, gives you a probability distribution
- Use Monte Carlo rollouts, with a policy, selecting children with higher values
 - As policy improves this search improves too



Monte-Carlo Tree Search



Rollout (Random Search)

From Wikipedia

(C) Dhruv Batra & Zsolt Kira





