Topics:

- Jacobians
- Optimization

CS 4803-DL / 7643-A
ZSOLT KIRA

- **Assignment Due Feb 5th**
- Resources:
  - These lectures
  - Matrix calculus for deep learning
  - Gradients notes and MLP/ReLU Jacobian notes.
  - Assignment (@41) and matrix calculus (@46)

- **Project:** Teaming thread on piazza

- **Schedule:**

Graded Deliverabl	Release Date A	Due Date ES
Start of Term	10-Jan	
A1	14-Jan	**5-Feb**
A2	30-Jan	20-Feb
A3	20-Feb	6-Mar
Project Proposal	5-Feb	13-Mar
A4	9-Mar	3-Apr
Project Report	5-Apr	1-May

To develop a general algorithm for this, we will view the function as a **computation graph**

Graph can be any **directed acyclic graph (DAG)**

- Modules must be differentiable to support gradient computations for gradient descent

A **training algorithm** will then process this graph, **one module at a time**



Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

Step 1: Compute Loss on Mini-Batch: **Forward Pass**



Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

Step 1: Compute Loss on Mini-Batch: **Forward Pass**



Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

Step 1: Compute Loss on Mini-Batch: **Forward Pass**



Note that we must store the **intermediate outputs of all layers**!

- This is because we will need them to **compute the gradients** (the gradient equations will have terms with the output values in them)

Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

Step 1: Compute Loss on Mini-Batch: **Forward Pass**

Step 2: Compute Gradients wrt parameters: **Backward Pass**



Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

Step 1: Compute Loss on Mini-Batch: **Forward Pass**

Step 2: Compute Gradients wrt parameters: **Backward Pass**



Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

Step 1: Compute Loss on Mini-Batch: **Forward Pass**

Step 2: Compute Gradients wrt parameters: **Backward Pass**



Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

Step 1: Compute Loss on Mini-Batch: **Forward Pass**

Step 2: Compute Gradients wrt parameters: **Backward Pass**

Step 3: Use **gradient** to update **all parameters** at the end



$$w_i = w_i - \alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i}$$

Backpropagation is the application of gradient descent to a computation graph via the chain rule!

Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

- We want to to compute: $\left\{\frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell-1}}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial W}\right\}$



- We will use the *chain rule* to do this:

Chain Rule: $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$

Computing the Gradients of Loss

Georgia Tech

- We will use the **chain rule** to compute: $\{\frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell-1}}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial W}\}$
- **Gradient of loss w.r.t. inputs:** $\frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell-1}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell}} \frac{\partial h^{\ell}}{\partial h^{\ell-1}}$
- **Gradient of loss w.r.t. weights:** $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell}} \frac{\partial h^{\ell}}{\partial W}$

Given by upstream module **(upstream gradient)**



Calculated Analytically

Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

Computing the Gradients of Loss

Georgia Tech

Conventions:

- Size of derivatives for scalars, vectors, and matrices:
  Assume we have scalar $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$, vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$, i.e. $v = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m]^T$ and matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times \ell}$



$x \in \mathbb{R}^1 \xrightarrow{g_1()} z \in \mathbb{R}^1 \xrightarrow{g_2()} y \in \mathbb{R}^1$ (y: loss)

$$y = g_2(g_1(x))$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$$

Scalar mult

Scalar Case

Georgia Tech

$$\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \xrightarrow[g_1(\,)]{} \vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow[g_2(\,)]{} y \in \mathbb{R}^c$$

$$\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m \qquad \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^c$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \vec{x}}\right] = \left[\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \vec{z}}\right]_{\text{matrix}} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial \vec{z}}{\partial \vec{x}}\right]_{\text{matrix}}$$

$$J_{g_2 \circ g_1} = J_{g_2} \cdot J_{g_1}$$

Vector Case



$$\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial z_k} \cdot \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_j}$$

Jacobian View of Chain Rule



Graphical View of Chain Rule

Georgia Tech

Chain Rule: Cascaded

- Input: $\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{R^D}$
- Binary label: $\boldsymbol{y} \in \{-\mathbf{1}, +\mathbf{1}\}$
- Parameters: $\boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{R^D}$
- Output prediction: $\boldsymbol{p(y = 1|x)} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}+e^{-w^T x}}$
- Loss: $\boldsymbol{L} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^{\mathbf{2}} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}\mathbf{log}\big(\boldsymbol{p(y|x)}\big)$





Log Loss

Adapted from slide by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato

We have discussed **computation graphs for generic functions**

Machine Learning functions **(input -> model -> loss function)** is also a computation graph

We can use the **computed gradients from backprop/automatic differentiation** to update the weights!





Automatic differentiation:

- Carries out this procedure for us on arbitrary graphs
- Knows derivatives of primitive functions
- As a result, we just define these (forward) functions **and don't even need to specify the gradient (backward) functions!**

$$\bar{L} = 1$$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{p}$$

where $p = \sigma(w^T x)$ and $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$

$$\bar{u} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p}\frac{\partial p}{\partial u} = \bar{p}\,\sigma(1-\sigma)$$

$$\bar{w} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u}{\partial w} = \bar{u}x^T$$

We can do this in a combined way to see all terms together:

$$\bar{w} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p}\frac{\partial p}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u}{\partial w} = -\frac{1}{\sigma(w^T x)}\sigma(w^T x)(1-\sigma(w^T x))x^T$$
$$= -\left(1-\sigma(w^T x)\right)x^T$$

This effectively shows gradient flow along path from L to w

Example Gradient Computations

Georgia Tech

The chain rule can be computed as a **series of scalar, vector, and matrix linear algebra operations**



Extremely efficient in graphics processing units (GPUs)

$$\bar{w} = -\frac{1}{\sigma(w^T x)}\sigma(w^T x)(1-\sigma(w^T x))x^T$$

1x1 1x1 1x1 1xd

Vectorized Computations



$L = 1$

$\bar{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{p}$

where $p = \sigma(w^T x)$ and $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$

$\bar{u} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p}\frac{\partial p}{\partial u} = \bar{p}\,\sigma(1-\sigma)$

$\bar{w} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u}{\partial w} = \bar{u}x^T$

We can do this in a combined way to see all terms together:

$\bar{w} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p}\frac{\partial p}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u}{\partial w} = -\frac{1}{\sigma(w^T x)}\sigma(w^T x)(1-\sigma(w^T x))x^T$
$= -\left(1-\sigma(w^T x)\right)x^T$

This effectively shows gradient flow along path from L to w

Computation Graph / Global View of Chain Rule



Computational / Tensor View



Graph View

- We want to compute: $\left\{\frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell-1}}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial W}\right\}$



Backpropagation View (Recursive Algorithm)

Different Views of Equivalent Ideas

Georgia Tech



Define:

$$h_i = w_i^T h^{\ell-1}$$

$$h^{\ell} = W h^{\ell-1}$$

$$|h^{\ell}| \times 1 \qquad |h^{\ell}| \times |h^{\ell-1}| \qquad |h^{\ell-1}| \times 1$$

w_i^T

Fully Connected (FC) Layer: Forward Function

$$h^{\ell} = Wh^{\ell-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial h^{\ell}}{\partial h^{\ell-1}} = W$$

Define:

$$h_i = w_i^T h^{\ell-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial h_i^{\ell}}{\partial w_i} = h^{(\ell-1),T}$$



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell-1}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell}} \quad \frac{\partial h^{\ell}}{\partial h^{\ell-1}}$$

$$1 \times |h^{\ell-1}| \quad 1 \times |h^{\ell}| \quad |h^{\ell}| \times |h^{\ell-1}|$$

$$h^{\ell} = W h^{\ell-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial h^{\ell}}{\partial h^{\ell-1}} = W$$

Define:

$$h_i = w_i^T h^{\ell-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial h_i^{\ell}}{\partial w_i} = h^{(\ell-1),T}$$



Note doing this on full W matrix would result in Jacobian tensor!

But it is *sparse* – each output only affected by corresponding weight row

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell}} \frac{\partial h^{\ell}}{\partial w_i}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \quad \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \quad \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \leftarrow & 0 & \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow & \frac{\partial h_i^{\ell}}{\partial w_i} & \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow & 0 & \rightarrow \end{bmatrix}$$

$$1 \times |h^{\ell-1}| \quad 1 \times |h^{\ell}| \quad |h^{\ell}| \times |h^{\ell-1}|$$

Full Jacobian of ReLU layer is **large** (output dim x input dim)

- But again it is **sparse**
- Only **diagonal values non-zero** because it is element-wise
- An output value affected only by **corresponding input value**

Max function **funnels gradients through selected max**

- Gradient will be **zero** if input **<= 0**



Forward: $h^{\ell} = \max(0, h^{\ell-1})$

Backward: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell-1}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell}} \frac{\partial h^{\ell}}{\partial h^{\ell-1}}$



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell-1}} = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ h^{\ell-1} > 0 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Jacobian of ReLU

Backpropagation and Automatic Differentiation

Backpropagation does not really spell out how to **efficiently** carry out the necessary computations

But the idea can be applied to **any directed acyclic graph (DAG)**

- Graph represents an **ordering constraining** which paths must be calculated first

Given an ordering, we can then iterate from the last module backwards, **applying the chain rule**

- We will store, for each node, its **gradient outputs for efficient computation**
- We will do this **automatically** by computing backwards function for primitives and as you write code, express the function with them

This is called reverse-mode **automatic differentiation**



A General Framework

Computation = Graph

- Input = Data + Parameters
- Output = Loss
- Scheduling = Topological ordering

Auto-Diff

- A family of algorithms for implementing chain-rule on computation graphs

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2 + \sin(x_2)$$



We want to find the **partial derivative of output f** (output) with respect to **all intermediate variables**

- Assign intermediate variables

  Simplify notation:

  Denote bar as: $\overline{a_3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}$

- Start at **end** and move **backward**

Example

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2 + \sin(x_2)$$



$$\overline{a_3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3} = 1$$

$$\overline{a_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial a_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}\frac{\partial (a_1+a_2)}{\partial a_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}\,1 = \overline{a_3}$$

$$\overline{a_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial a_2} = \overline{a_3}$$

$$\overline{x_2^{P1}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_1}\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_2} = \overline{a_1}\,\cos(x_2)$$

$$\overline{x_2^{P2}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2}\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2}\frac{\partial (x1x2)}{\partial x_2} = \overline{a_2} x_1$$

Gradients from multiple paths **summed**

$$\overline{x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2}\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_1} = \overline{a_2} x_2$$

Example

Georgia Tech

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2 + \sin(x_2)$$



$$\overline{a_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial a_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}\frac{\partial (a_1 + a_2)}{\partial a_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}\,1 = \overline{a_3}$$

$$\overline{a_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_3}\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial a_2} = \overline{a_3}$$

Addition operation distributes gradients along all paths!

Patterns of Gradient Flow: Addition

Georgia Tech

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2 + \sin(x_2)$$



Multiplication operation is a gradient switcher (multiplies it by the values of the other term)

$$\overline{x_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2}\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2}\frac{\partial (x1x2)}{\partial x_2} = \overline{a_2} x_1$$

$$\overline{x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2}\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_1} = \overline{a_2} x_2$$

Patterns of Gradient Flow: Multiplication

Georgia Tech

Several other patterns as well, e.g.:

Max operation **selects** which path to push the gradients through

- Gradient flows along the path that was "selected" to be max
- This information must be recorded in the forward pass



The flow of gradients is one of the **most important aspects** in deep neural networks

- If gradients **do not flow backwards properly,** learning slows or stops!

Patterns of Gradient Flow: Other

- Key idea is to **explicitly store computation graph** in memory and **corresponding gradient functions**

- **Nodes** broken down to **basic primitive computations** (addition, multiplication, log, etc.) for which **corresponding derivative is known**

$$\overline{x_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_1}\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_2} = \overline{a_1}\ \cos(x_2)$$



Computational Implementation

Note that we can also do **forward mode** automatic differentiation

Start from **inputs** and propagate gradients forward

Complexity is proportional to input size

- Memory savings (all forward pass, no need to store activations)
- However, in most cases our **inputs** (images) are large and **outputs** (loss) are small





See https://www.cc.gatech.edu/classes/AY2020/cs7643_spring/slides/autodiff_forward_reverse.pdf

Forward Mode Autodifferentiation

Georgia Tech

A graph is created on the fly

```
from torch.autograd import Variable

x = Variable(torch.randn(1, 20))
prev_h = Variable(torch.randn(1, 20))
W_h = Variable(torch.randn(20, 20))
W_x = Variable(torch.randn(20, 20))

i2h = torch.mm(W_x, x.t())
h2h = torch.mm(W_h, prev_h.t())
next_h = i2h + h2h
```



(Note above)

Back-propagation uses the dynamically built graph

```
from torch.autograd import Variable

x = Variable(torch.randn(1, 20))
prev_h = Variable(torch.randn(1, 20))
W_h = Variable(torch.randn(20, 20))
W_x = Variable(torch.randn(20, 20))

i2h = torch.mm(W_x, x.t())
h2h = torch.mm(W_h, prev_h.t())
next_h = i2h + h2h
next_h = next_h.tanh()

next_h.backward(torch.ones(1, 20))
```



From pytorch.org

Convolutional network (AlexNet)



Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Neural Turing Machine



Figure reproduced with permission from a Twitter post by Andrej Karpathy.

- Computation graphs are **not limited to mathematical functions!**
- Can have **control flows** (if statements, loops) and **backpropagate** through **algorithms**!
- Can be done **dynamically** so that **gradients are computed**, then **nodes are added, repeat**
- **Differentiable programming**



Adapted from figure by Andrej Karpathy

Power of Automatic Differentiation

Georgia Tech

Optimization of Deep Neural Networks Overview

Backpropagation, and automatic differentiation, allows us to optimize **any** function composed of differentiable blocks

- **No need to modify** the learning algorithm!
- The complexity of the function is only limited by **computation and memory**



A network with two or more hidden layers is often considered a **deep** model

Depth is important:

- Structure the model to represent an inherently compositional world
- Theoretical evidence that it leads to parameter efficiency
- Gentle dimensionality reduction (if done right)



Importance of Depth

There are still many design decisions that must be made:

- **Architecture**
- **Data Considerations**
- **Training and Optimization**
- **Machine Learning Considerations**



We must design the **neural network architecture:**

- What **modules (layers)** should we use?
- How should they **be connected together**?
- Can we use our **domain knowledge** to add architectural biases?





Fully Connected Neural Network

Example Architectures



Fully Connected Neural Network



Convolutional Neural Networks

Example Architectures



Fully Connected Neural Network



Convolutional Neural Networks



Recurrent Neural Network

Different architectures are suitable for different applications or types of input

Example Architectures

As in traditional machine learning, **data** is key:

- Should we **pre-process** the data?
- Should we **normalize** it?
- Can we **augment** our data by adding noise or other perturbations?

Data Considerations

Even given a good neural network architecture, we need a **good optimization algorithm to find good weights**

- What **optimizer** should we use?
  - Different optimizers make **different weight updates** depending on the gradients
- How should we **initialize** the weights?
- What **regularizers** should we use?
- What **loss function** is appropriate?



Optimization Considerations

Machine Learning Considerations

The practice of machine learning is complex: For your particular application you have to **trade off** all of the considerations together

- Trade-off between **model capacity** (e.g. measured by # of parameters) and **amount of data**
- Adding **appropriate biases** based on knowledge of the domain

Architectural Considerations

Determining what modules to use, and how to connect them is part of the **architectural design**

- Guided by the **type of data used** and its **characteristics**
  - Understanding your data is always the first step!
- **Lots of data types (modalities)** already have good architectures
  - Start with what others have discovered!
- **The flow of gradients** is one of the key principles to use when analyzing layers



- **Combination** of linear and non-linear layers
- Combination of **only** linear layers has same representational power as one linear layer
- **Non-linear layers** are crucial
  - Composition of non-linear layers **enables complex transformations of the data**

$$w_1^T(w_2^T(w_3^T x)) = w_4^T \mathbf{x}$$





Several aspects that we can **analyze**:

- Min/Max
- Correspondence between input & output statistics
- **Gradients**
  - At initialization (e.g. small values)
  - At extremes
- Computational complexity



- **Min:** 0, **Max:** 1
- Output **always positive**
- Saturates at **both ends**
- **Gradients**
  - Vanishes at both end
  - Always positive
- **Computation: Exponential term**



$$h^{\ell} = \sigma\left(h^{\ell-1}\right)$$

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\ell}} \frac{\partial h^{\ell}}{\partial W}$$

Sigmoid Function

- **Min:** -1, **Max:** 1
  - **Centered**
- Saturates at **both ends**
- **Gradients**
  - Vanishes at both end
  - Always positive
- **Still somewhat computationally heavy**



$$h^{\ell} = tanh(h^{\ell-1})$$

Tanh Function

- **Min:** 0, **Max:** Infinity
- Output always **positive**
- **No saturation** on positive end!
- **Gradients**
  - $\mathbf{0}$ **if** $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}$ (dead ReLU)
  - Constant otherwise (does not vanish)
- **Cheap to compute (max)**



$$h^{\ell} = max(0, h^{\ell-1})$$

Rectified Linear Unit

Leaky ReLU

- **Min:** -Infinity, **Max:** Infinity
- **Learnable parameter!**
- **No saturation**
- **Gradients**
  - No dead neuron
- **Still cheap to compute**



$$h^{\ell} = max(\alpha h^{\ell-1}, h^{\ell-1})$$

Selecting a Non-Linearity

Which **non-linearity** should you select?

- Unfortunately, **no one activation function is best** for all applications
- **ReLU** is most common starting point
  - Sometimes leaky ReLU can make a big difference
- **Sigmoid** is typically avoided unless clamping to values from [0,1] is needed

- **Backpropagation:** Recursive, modular algorithm for chain rule + gradient descent

- **When we move to vectors and matrices:**
  - Computation graph (composition of functions) => Multiplication of Jacobians along path
- **Automatic differentiation**:
  - Reduction of modules to simple operations we know (simple multiplication, etc.)
  - Automatically build computation graph in background as write code
  - Automatically compute gradients via backward pass

- **We now have a generic algorithm! Considerations:**
  - **Architecture**
  - **Data Considerations**
  - **Training and Optimization**
  - **Machine Learning Considerations**

Summary

Georgia Tech