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Abstract—This paper is concerned with the design and implementation of a control architecture for a mobile robot that is to navigate in dynamic unknown indoor environments. It is based on the framework of Open Robot Control Software @ KTH, which is discussed and evaluated in this paper. As a hybrid architecture, it is decomposed into several basic components which can be classified as either deliberative or reactive. Each component can concurrently execute and communicate with another using unified communication patterns. Therefore, scalability, portability and reusability are the goals of the design.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental problem in mobile robotics is the design of a suitable architecture for integration of the various components in a system. The problem of architectures for mobile robotics is by no measure a new problem. It has been widely studied for more than 3 decades, and prominent architectures include NASREM [1], TCA [2], Behaviour-Based [3], [4], Dervish [5], CLARAty [13] etc. A good overview of the wide variety of architectures available can be found in [6]. Today it is widely recognized that the most suitable architecture for a mobile robot is the hybrid deliberative [3], where deliberative and reactive parts are combined into a unified framework. Such an architecture allows rapid reaction to unexpected situations (the reactive layer) and at the same time goal directed behaviour and use of prior knowledge, such as geometric maps, through the deliberative layer situated on top of the reactive layer. While there is an agreement on the control paradigm to be used in a mobile robot architecture, there is a lack of standard software packages for integration of systems. A few popular choices include Saphira [7], Mobility by iRobot, TeamBots [8], TCA [2], and Berra [9]. Unfortunately most of these systems have a number of problems, as for example reported in [10] in terms of:

• Portability to different hardware platforms
• Easy distribution for use of multiple computers

To address this problem an open source framework has been developed as part of the EU Open Robot Control Systems (OROCON) initiative. Primary design objectives have been

• Object oriented design
• Support for different control models

One of the objectives of this paper is to design a hybrid architecture for a mobile robot to navigate in unknown indoor environments. At the same time the system is used to evaluate the characteristics of the framework for Open Robot Control Software (OROCON) at KTH [11].

Initially the OROCON @ KTH framework is introduced and go on to describe an example system for indoor navigation, and finally we draw a number of conclusions as to the adequacy of the framework for flexible development of mobile robot systems and the features of the navigation architecture.

II. THE OROCON FRAMEWORK

OROCON@KTH is an application independent framework for soft-real-time mobile robots, as a part of the European project: Open Robot Control Software. The philosophy of the design is: 1) Component based. That is, details of low-level control and communication, etc. are abstracted and encapsulated into modules. Developers only need to design application-oriented components with these modules. 2) Code Sharing. It is not bound to specific hardware in a specific lab. And the code is open under GPL. Users should be able to migrate to the system with limited effort. 3) Support for distributed computing. Components can concurrently run and communicate in a distributed and heterogeneous environment. Figure 1 provides an overview of the toolkit.
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All components in an application should be built on CORBA (the Common Object Request Broker Architecture) so that they can operate in heterogeneous and distributed environments. The CORBA specific code is encapsulated in a set of base-classes (i.e. OrocosComponent).

Its core includes communication pattern and object types. Communication patterns are a set of common modes for data and event transfer utilizing CORBA. There are three patterns. 1) Push. It asynchronously sends an object to other components, using one-way communication to push an object to components that subscribe. 2) Query. It is a method of retrieving an object from a component (pull). 3) Send. It is one-way communication to send an object to the server side.

The objects transmitted via the communication patterns are composed from CORBA valuetypes. A valuetype, or an object, is a data structure, which parameterizes the communication pattern templates. It should be predefined from the predefined base-class Orocos-Object. A communication pattern, when bounded with a predefined valuetype object, becomes an interface, which can be a client or a server. Consequently, a component must inherit from communication patterns and define relevant valuetypes. With the support of the CORBA broker, it decouples other components and becomes autonomous units.

To be independent on hardware such as robotic actuator, sonar-sensor and laser-sensor, a set of classes is developed to provide hardware abstraction as shown in the shaded areas in Fig. 1. Special hardware information can be compiled into a runtime library and activated at runtime.

To coordinate communication and control resources, a group of tools have also been developed: StateThread and Synchronizer, etc.

Based on framework of OROCOS@KTH, an application dependent architecture and its components are interoperable and portable across specific platforms and hardware.

OROCOS@KTH is currently using the ACE/TAO implementation of CORBA. It utilises the CORBA Naming Service for mapping names to object references, but also has support for using the Trading Service. It has its own component model, but the plan is to use the CORBA Component Model in the future.

The are several other toolkits available for construction of robot systems. TCA/Xavier is an indoor navigation system built on TCA. It is a layered control architecture and the interprocess communication is C/Socket based [2, 14]. The Mobility system has been developed by iRobot Co. It is an object-oriented and CORBA-based control architecture to support code reuse, transportability and extensibility, but it is proprietary. Saphira is the standard software environment delivered with robots from ActiveMedia. It is C++/shared memory and parts of it are in open source. Its shared core, named Local Perceptual Space (LPS), allow for easy composition of “fuzzy” behaviour coordination, but the shared memory system might be a bottle neck for communication [17]. TeamBots is a pure Java-based open source toolkit for multi-agent and mobile robot research.

III. ARCHITECTURE OF THE APPLICATION

A. Scenario

Navigation in dynamic and unknown surroundings is a widely studied problem. One traditional scenario is “go from place A to place B without bumping into any obstacles”.

One way to avoid obstacles is to move the robot around the obstacles while keeping track of them. To do this the sensory system must estimate the tangent direction of the obstacle. This is often not realistic. Another question is the planning of trajectories for realistic robots in real environments. In [12], an algorithm of free space detection based on a range scanner, is presented to provide an experimental approach to online sensor-based navigation in unknown indoor environment. To detect free space, the area in the front of the robot is divided into a number of regions, along both the radial and angular directions of the range sensor. The robot can move from one free block (point) to any adjacent block. Hence, the robot
maintains a constant distance to obstacles and when in open space drives towards the goal point. This algorithm is simple, efficient, but information is not fully utilized, the trajectory might be coarse and robot might perform excessive turns.

In the present scenario the navigation might be composed of tasks: point-to-point navigation, door detection, door traversal, and obstacle handling. Using these tasks it is possible to perform most any-place to any-place navigation missions in an indoor environment. The detection of places is achieved using a laser scanner, while obstacles might be detected by sonar or laser. The entire system is tested on a Pioneer-II system, but the same system can also be executed on a Nomadic Super Scout.

B. General strategy

According to above scenario, the robot should have a capability to deliberatively reason and detect a “door” with the perceptual input from sensors. Meanwhile, it should reactively steer clear of obstacles on the way to sub-goals. Therefore, it is implemented as a hybrid architecture. The general layout of this simple evaluation system is shown in Fig. 3.

C. Specific design

As shown in Fig. 4, this application is decomposed into 10 components with the support of OROCOS@KTH. The components can be classified as one of four types: 1) Deliberative components: Userinterface and Pathplanning. 2) Monitor component: ObstacleDetection. 3) Reactive components: Orienting, Obstacle avoidance and Goto. 4) Hardware abstracts: RobotBaseServer and LaserServer. Each component and its communication are briefly outlined below.

IV. COMPONENTS

The components that compose this application are shown in Fig. 4. The communication patterns are shown as directed connection. For example, the component “Userinterface” sends GoalPoint objects to the component “Pathplanning” and query its states with the object LogMessage.

A. Userinterface

Through this component the user can enter missions which through the Pathplanner is converted into Goalpoints. The status of the Pathplanner can at any time be requested through the use of the Query pattern. In which case a LogMessage object is returned.

B. Path planning

Path planning is one of the important functions to realize indoor navigation. Here, it is the commander and coordinator of a mission. When it receives the coordinates of a target (Goalpoint object) from the Userinterface component, it activates the Orienting component by sending a WorldCoord object. It also activates the component of obstacle detection by subscribing to data ObstRead. In parallel it activates the component of DL Detecting by subscribing DLEdata if necessary to get a sub-target. Finally, it sends a WorldCoord object to the component Goto.

C. DL Detecting

This component is designed for door-like-exit detection and reasoning. A door-like-exit is an exit, which is characteristically like a door in 2D:

- This is a local opening with a width of at least 800 mm
- The free space beyond the opening must be at least 1000 mm

This component employs a specific Door-like-exit detection algorithm to detect sub-targets from the data of the laser scanner. The raw data used in this component are subscribed as the object of LaserRead from the component LaserServer. These sub-targets are abstracted into DLEdata and communicated to Pathplanning with Push a method.

D. Obstacle detecting

This component is a monitor to detect if there are any obstacles on the way. It also informs the Goto component if there is a condition that drives the robot into a dead end. It communicates with Pathplanning and Goto using a Push method and the objects are of type ObstRead. It subscribes to readings from the sonar ring (object of SonarRead) from the component RobotBaseServer.

E. Goto

A Goto function is a necessary part for navigation. It generates motion commands and sends them to the Motionfuser using Push of object of type MotionCmd. It decides on reachability and motion gaits. To be convergent, there always is an attractive force for a sub-target. To guarantee smooth movement, it keeps moving in the same direction under the monitor of ObstacleDetecting. It subscribes to readings from the sensor Odometry from the component RobotBaseServer to detect if the goal is reached.
F. Orienting

This is another generator of motion commands, rotating the robot to a certain degree. The purpose of this component is to simplify the structure and implementation of the component Goto. In addition, it also enhances the modular level of the architecture and the reusability of the components Goto, Obstacleavoiding and Motionfuser. Here, it uses the Push pattern to send turn commands (object of MotionCmd) to the
Motionfuser. It subscribes to readings from the sensor Odometry from the component RobotBaseServer to detect when the goal has been reached.

G. Obstacleavoiding

This component generates a behavior of stay clear of obstacles. It subscribes to SonarRead from RobotBaseServer to detect if there is an obstacle in front of the robot. Given an obstacle, for example, in front of one of the left sonar sensor of the robot, it generates a motion command to move away from the obstacle along the direction of the sonar sensor. Each command has an associated weight, which is correlated with the distance and the direction to the obstacle. All commands are fused as a weighted vector sum and the result is sent as an object of type MotionCmd to Motionfuser using a Push.

H. Motionfuser

This component is the only one to send motion commands to the component RobotBaseServer, which controls the motors of the robot. It subscribes to and receives all motion commands generated from other components, such as Goto, Orienting and Obstacleavoiding, and fuses them into one final command. Experiments show that this is the busiest component in this application and could be a bottleneck of the system. Therefore, its running state must be monitored so that further navigation can be implemented only when it is idle and accessible. This monitoring function is implemented using the Query pattern and LogMessage object sent to the Pathplanning.

I. RobotBaseServer and LaserServer

LaserServer is a component abstraction on top of the laser sensor. RobotBaseServer encapsulates the interfaces to actuators, odometry and sonar ring. All other components can only communicate with the hardware through these two components.

V. EVALUATION

The system was evaluated using experiments on the Nomadic robot simulator, a Nomadic Scout – Louie and a PeopleBot – Goofy (Fig. 5). Both robots have a SICK scanner, which has a 180 degrees field of view, an odometry sensor and a set of sonar sensors. The systems both use a differential drive configuration. The operation system is Linux RH7.3, with TAO1.3 and ACE5.3. OROCOS@KTH has also been installed.

![Robot Loic (Left) and Robot Goofy (right)](image)

The experimental scenario is the living room at the Centre for Autonomous Systems (CAS). The tested robots are commanded to reach the goal under three different cases: in the same room, in the corridor, and in another room within the corridor. The test environment is dynamically modified to challenge the system. The general scenario is shown in Fig. 2. Below a number of issues related to use of the systems is outlined.

A. Portability

The system was used on three different platforms with no modifications at all. For porting to new platform the hardware abstraction modules must be rewritten for the new system.

B. Behaviors and efficiency

Because of the introduction of door-like-exits, the robots can move almost straight to sub-targets in static environments with small path perturbations. They can move at high speed and their trajectories are balanced and smooth. The time that component DLineDetecting spends on door-like-exits is only an average of 40 ms per detection. The small system does not offer enough complexity to challenge the system in terms of efficiency. At present the access to sensor data defines the overall speed of the system.

C. Coding

As all components are built on the toolkit OROCOS@KTH, their programming is relatively easy. Due to the extensive set of functionalities available in the OROCOS library, the coding of each component is compact. The resulting memory footprint is, however, rather large. The binary of a component is typically 1.5MB on disk. For example, the component Pathplanning, has a total of 551 lines of C++ code, and the binary is 1.59MB. The MotionFuser is 334 lines and 1.54MB. A big problem, as in all multi-process application programming, is debugging, which can be extremely difficult. Another issue is the learning curve. To write components one needs to know C++, Corba, ... so there is an investment to get started.

D. Communication and transparency

All communication is performed with the three communication patterns. This is an efficient and natural model of Component interaction. The experiments also demonstrated that the implementation is robust, there were no failures. Access to components/objects through the Corba Name Service [15] is efficient and provides for transparency in the system.

E. Resource management and monitors

For this particular application design the only point of resource synchronisation was the Motionfuser. As communication with the fusion module is through a Query module (pull) there is implicitly a need for synchronisation across the different reactive components. However, query is not a very efficient method for timed synchronization and consequently the fusion components becomes a bottleneck in the context of complex systems. For implementation of more advanced systems it might be useful to consider introduction of efficient mechanisms for inter-process synchronization.
VI. SUMMARY

A hybrid architecture for mobile robots to navigate in dynamic unknown indoor environments was presented in this paper. The main focus of the paper was not the system behaviour, but the evaluation of methods for system design. Consequently, the system uses 1) incrementally design using component technology. It is decomposed into components with the support of the common and open framework of OROCOS@KTH. Each component has a unified communication interface and can be executed concurrently. Hence, it is scalable, portable and reusable. 2) a hybrid architecture. It takes advantage of both deliberative and reactive modules. 3) It utilizes an application specific component for detection of door-like-exit. This algorithm is encapsulated in the component DLEdetecting and utilizes 2D features of a door in combination with range data from laser scanner. Cooperating with the GoTo function and Obstacleavoiding, the algorithm and the corresponding path-planning allow the robots to move robustly, efficiently and smoothly.

One of the main objectives of the work has been to evaluate OROCOS@KTH. It examines the characteristics of the toolkit in terms of construction of scalable, portable, and easy to develop autonomous mobile architectures. A particular design is presented. Through use of several platforms it is demonstrated that a level of portability has been achieved. In addition the system has demonstration adequate efficiency for navigation and obstacle avoidance. The experience in term of programming is that the toolkit allows for efficacious coding, though the learning curve might be challenging. In addition the footprint is relatively large which primarily is due to the use of the ACE/Corba. Finally the handling of critical resources is not as detailed as one might want in real-time control systems.
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