Lecture 4: Query Processing

<=> <=> <=> = ∽ac 1/51

Recap

2/51

▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへで

Access Methods

- Access methods are the alternative ways for retrieving specific tuples
- We covered two access methods: sequential scan and index scan
- Sequential scan is done over an unordered table heap
- Index scan is done over an ordered B-Tree or an unordered hash table

▲目▶▲目▶ 目 釣∝⊙

• Hash tables are fast data structures that support O(1) look-ups

Hash Tables vs. B+Trees

- Hash tables are usually **not** what you want to use for a indexing tables
 - Lack of ordering in widely-used hashing schemes
 - ► Lack of locality of reference → more disk seeks
 - Persistent data structures are much more complex (logging and recovery)
 - Reference
- The venerable B+Tree is always a good choice for your DBMS.
- Making a data structure thread-safe is notoriously difficult in practice.
- We focused on B+Trees but the same high-level techniques are applicable to other data structures.

< ■ > < ■ > < ■ > < ● < 4/51

Access Methods

- It is important to choose the right index for the target workload
 - Hash Table
 - ► B+Tree

▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 の久(?)

6/51

Today's Agenda

- Query Processing
- Sorting Algorithms
- Aggregation Algorithms
- Join Algorithms
- Processing Models
- CPU and I/O Parallelism

Query Processing

Anatomy of a Database System [Monologue]



<=><=><=> = のQC 9/51

Anatomy of a Database System [Monologue]

- Process Manager
 - Manages client connections
- Query Processor
 - Parse, plan and execute queries on top of storage manager
- Transactional Storage Manager
 - Knits together buffer management, concurrency control, logging and recovery
- Shared Utilities
 - Manage hardware resources across threads

<=><=>、=>、= のへで 10/51

Anatomy of a Database System [Monologue]

- Process Manager
 - Connection Manager + Admission Control
- Query Processor
 - Query Parser
 - Query Optimizer (a.k.a., Query Planner)
 - Query Executor
- Transactional Storage Manager
 - Lock Manager
 - Access Methods (a.k.a., Indexes)
 - Buffer Pool Manager
 - Log Manager
- Shared Utilities
 - Memory, Disk, and Networking Manager

Query Plan

- The operators are arranged in a tree.
- Data flows from the leaves of the tree up towards the root.
- The output of the root node is the result of the query.

```
SELECT A.id, B.value
FROM A, B
WHERE A.id = B.id AND B.value > 100
```



Disk-Oriented DBMS

- We <u>cannot</u> assume that the results of a query fits in memory.
- We are going use the **buffer pool** to implement query execution algorithms that need to spill to disk.
- We are also going to prefer algorithms that maximize the amount of **sequential access**.

▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ の Q @ 12/51

Sorting Algorithms

<=> <=> <=> <=> <> <> <> <> <> <> <> <> 13/51

= = = 14/51

Why do we need to sort?

- Tuples in a table have no specific order.
- But queries often want to retrieve tuples in a specific order.
 - ► Trivial to support duplicate elimination (DISTINCT).
 - Bulk loading sorted tuples into a B+Tree index is faster.
 - Aggregation (GROUP BY).

Sorting Algorithms

- If data fits in memory, then we can use a standard in-memory sorting algorithm like **quick-sort**.
- If data does not fit in memory, then we need to use a technique that is aware of the cost of writing data out to disk.

▲■▶▲■▶ ■ のへで 15/51

External Merge Sort

- Divide-and-conquer sorting algorithm that splits the data set into separate <u>**runs**</u> and then sorts them individually.
- Phase 1 Sorting
 - Sort blocks of data that fit in main-memory and then write back the sorted blocks to a file on disk.

<=><=>、=>、= のQで 16/51

- Phase 2 Merging
 - Combine sorted sub-files into a single larger file.

Using B+Trees for Sorting

- If the table that must be sorted already has a B+Tree index on the sort attribute(s), then we can use that to accelerate sorting.
- Retrieve tuples in desired <u>sort order</u> by simply traversing the **leaf pages** of the tree.

<=><=>、=>、= のへで 17/51

- Cases to consider:
 - Clustered B+Tree
 - Unclustered B+Tree

Case 1 – Clustered B+Tree

- Traverse to the left-most leaf page, and then retrieve tuples from all leaf pages.
- This is always better than external sorting because there is no computational cost and all disk access is sequential.



<=><=>、=>、==のQの 18/51

Sorting Algorithms

Case 2 – Unclustered B+Tree

- Chase each pointer to the page that contains the data.
- This is almost always a bad idea. In general, one I/O per data record.



▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ● の Q (2)

19/51

Aggregation Algorithms

< ■ ト < ■ ト ■ の < ~ 20 / 51

<=><=>、=>、== のへで 21/51

Aggregation

- Collapse multiple tuples into a single scalar value.
- Two implementation choices:
 - Sorting
 - Hashing

Sorting Aggregation

SELECT DISTINCT cid FROM enrolled WHERE grade IN ('B','C') ORDER BY cid

enrolled(sid.cid.grade)

sid	cid	grade
53666	15-445	с
53688	15-721	A
53688	15-826	В
53666	15-721	с
53655	15-445	с







cid





Sorting Aggregation

SELECT	DISTINCT	cid
FROM	enrolled	
WHERE	grade IN	('B','C')
ORDER	BY cid	

enrolled(sid,cid,grade)

sid	cid	grade
53666	15-445	С
53688	15-721	A
53688	15-826	В
53666	15-721	С
53655	15-445	с



	cid	grade
66	15-445	С
88	15-826	В
66	15-721	С
55	15-445	С



cid

15-445 15-826 15-721 15-445



<=><=>、=>、= のQで 24/51

Alternatives to Sorting

- What if we <u>do not</u> need the data to be ordered?
 - Forming groups in GROUP BY (no ordering)
 - Removing duplicates in DISTINCT (no ordering)
- Hashing is a better alternative in this scenario.
 - Only need to remove duplicates, no need for ordering.
 - May be computationally cheaper than sorting.

= = = 25/51

Hashing Aggregate

- Populate an **ephemeral hash table** as the DBMS scans the table.
- For each record, check whether there is already an entry in the hash table:
 - **GROUP** BY: Perform aggregate computation.
 - DISTINCT: Discard duplicates.
- If everything fits in memory, then it is easy.
- If the DBMS must spill data to disk, then we need to be smarter.

Join Algorithms

<=> < => < => < ≥ < 26/51

Why do we need to join?

- We <u>normalize</u> tables in a relational database to avoid unnecessary repetition of information.
- We use the join operator to reconstruct the original tuples without any information loss.

▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ の Q @ 27 / 51

Join Algorithms

- We will focus on combining two tables at a time with inner equi-join algorithms.
 - These algorithms can be tweaked to support other types of joins.
- In general, we want the smaller table to always be the left table (**outer table**) in the query plan.

▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ 国 め Q @ 28/51

Join vs Cross-Product

- $R \bowtie S$ is the most common operation and thus must be carefully optimized.
- $R \times S$ followed by a selection is inefficient because the cross-product is large.
- There are many algorithms for reducing join cost, but no algorithm works well in all scenarios.

< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q C 29 / 51

Join Algorithms

- Nested Loop Join
 - Naïve
 - Block
 - Index
- Sort-Merge Join
- Hash Join

Join Algorithms

Join Algorithms: Summary

Join Algorithm	IO Cost	Example
Simple Nested Loop Join	$M + (m \times N)$	1.3 hours
Block Nested Loop Join	$M + (M \times N)$	50 seconds
Index Nested Loop Join	$M + (M \times C)$	Variable
Sort-Merge Join	M + N + (sort cost)	0.75 seconds
Hash Join	3 x (M + N)	0.45 seconds

Processing Models

<=> < = > < = > = ∽ < ~ 32 / 51

Processing Model

• A DBMS's processing model defines how the system executes a query plan.

<=><=>、=>、= のへの 33/51

- Different trade-offs for different workloads.
- Approach 1: Iterator Model
- Approach 2: Materialization Model
- Approach 3: Vectorized / Batch Model

Iterator Model

- Each query plan operator implements a Next function.
 - On each invocation, the operator returns either a single tuple or a null marker if there are no more tuples.
 - The operator implements a loop that calls next on its children to retrieve their tuples and then process them.

<= ト < E ト E の Q · 34 / 51

• Also called **volcano** or **pipeline** model.

(■) (■) (■) (0,0) (35/51)

Iterator Model

- This is used in almost every DBMS. Allows for tuple pipelining.
- Some operators have to block until their children emit all of their tuples.
- These operators are known as pipeline breakers
 - ▶ Joins, Subqueries, Order By
- Output control (*e.g.*, LIMIT) works easily with this approach.
- Examples: SQLite, MySQL, PostgreSQL

Materialization Model

- Each operator processes its input <u>all at once</u> and then emits its output all at once.
 - ▶ The operator "materializes" its output as a single result.
 - ▶ The DBMS can push down <u>hints</u> into to avoid scanning too many tuples (*e.g.*, LIMIT).

= = = 9 = 36/51

- Can send either a materialized row or a single column.
- The output can be either whole tuples (NSM) or subsets of columns (DSM)

Materialization Model

• Better for OLTP workloads because queries only access a small number of tuples at a time.

<=><=>、=>、=>、=の<</td>

- Lower execution / coordination overhead.
- Fewer function calls.
- Not good for OLAP queries with large intermediate results.
- Examples: MonetDB, VoltDB

Vectorization Model

• Like the Iterator Model where each operator implements a Next function in this model.

= = = 38/51

- Each operator emits a **batch of tuples** instead of a single tuple.
 - ▶ The operator's internal loop processes multiple tuples at a time.
 - The size of the batch can vary based on hardware or query properties.
 - Useful in in-memory DBMSs (due to fewer function calls)
 - Useful in disk-centric DBMSs (due to fewer IO operations)

Vectorization Model

- Ideal for OLAP queries because it greatly reduces the number of invocations per operator.
- Allows for operators to use vectorized (SIMD) instructions to process batches of tuples.

<= ト < E ト E の Q · 39 / 51

• Examples: Vectorwise, Snowflake, SQL Server, Oracle, Amazon RedShift

Access Methods

- An <u>access method</u> is a way that the DBMS can access the data stored in a table.
 - Located at the bottom of the query plan
 - Not defined in relational algebra.
- Three basic approaches:
 - Sequential Scan
 - Index Scan
 - Multi-Index / "Bitmap" Scan



<=><=><=><=><=><<</td>

CPU and I/O Parallelism

<=><=>、=>、= のへで 41/51

Query Execution

- We discussed about how to compose operators together to execute a query plan.
- We assumed that the queries execute with a single worker (*e.g.*, thread).
- We now need to talk about how to execute with multiple workers.

```
SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R, S
WHERE R.id = S.id AND S.value > 100
```



<=><=><=><=><=><</td>43/51

Why care about Parallel Execution?

- Increased performance.
 - Throughput
 - Latency
- Increased responsiveness and availability.
- Potentially lower **total cost of ownership** (TCO).

<=><=> <=> <=> <= < 44/51

Parallel Execution

- CPU Parallelism
- I/O Parallelism

<=><=><=><=><=><</td>45/51

Inter- VS. Intra-Query Parallelism

- Inter-Query: Different queries are executed concurrently.
 - Increases throughput & reduces latency.
- Intra-Query: Execute the operations of a single query in parallel.
 - Decreases latency for long-running queries.

Observation

• Using additional processes/threads to execute queries in parallel won't help if the disk is always the main bottleneck.

・ = ト = の へ 46 / 51

Can make things worse if each worker is reading different segments of disk.

・ = ト = の へ 47 / 51

I/O Parallelism

- Split the DBMS installation across multiple storage devices.
 - Multiple Disks per Database
 - One Database per Disk
 - One Relation per Disk
 - Split Relation across Multiple Disks

Conclusion

<=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <<<<<<<>48/51</ti>

<=><=><=><=><=><</td>

Parting Thoughts

- Access methods are the alternative ways for retrieving specific tuples
- Hashing is almost always better than sorting for operator execution.
- Caveats:
 - Sorting is better on non-uniform data.
 - Sorting is better when result needs to be sorted.
- Good DBMSs use either or both.

Parting Thoughts

- The same query plan be executed in multiple ways.
- A DBMS's **processing model** defines how the system executes a query plan.

= = = 9 = 50 / 51

- (Most) DBMSs will want to use an index scan as much as possible.
- Parallel execution is important.
- (Almost) every DBMS supports this.
- This is really hard to get right.
 - Coordination Overhead
 - Scheduling
 - Concurrency Issues
 - Resource Contention

Next Class

• Logging and Recovery Protocols

