

Lecture 22: Cascades Framework

CREATING THE NEXT[®]

▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ● の Q (2)

Today's Agenda

Cascades Framework

- 1.1 Recap
- 1.2 Logical Query Optimization
- 1.3 Physical Query Optimization
- 1.4 Cascades Optimizer
- 1.5 Case Studies
- 1.6 Conclusion



Optimization Search Strategies

Choice 1: Heuristics

INGRES, Oracle (until mid 1990s)

• Choice 2: Heuristics + Cost-based Join Search

System R, early IBM DB2, most open-source DBMSs

Choice 3: Randomized Search

Academics in the 1980s, current Postgres

• Choice 4: Stratified Search

▶ IBM's STARBURST (late 1980s), now IBM DB2 + Oracle

• Choice 5: Unified Search

Volcano/Cascades in 1990s, now MSSQL + Greenplum



Stratified Search

- First rewrite the logical query plan using transformation rules.
 - ▶ The engine checks whether the transformation is allowed before it can be applied.
 - Cost is never considered in this step.
- Then perform a cost-based search to map the logical plan to a physical plan.



Unified Search

- Unify the notion of both $logical \rightarrow logical$ and $logical \rightarrow physical transformations$. No need for separate stages because everything is transformations.
- This approach generates a lot more transformations so it makes heavy use of memoization to reduce redundant work.



Top-Down vs. Bottom-Up

• Top-down Optimization

- Start with the final outcome that you want, and then work down the tree to find the optimal plan that gets you to that goal.
- Example: Volcano, Cascades

Bottom-up Optimization

- Start with nothing and then build up the plan to get to the final outcome that you want.
- Examples: System R, Starburst



Logical Query Optimization

Logical Query Optimization

- Transform a logical plan into an equivalent logical plan using pattern matching rules.
- The goal is to increase the likelihood of enumerating the optimal plan in the search.
- Cannot compare plans because there is no cost model but can "direct" a transformation to a preferred side.



Logical Query Optimization

- Split Conjunctive Predicates
- Predicate Pushdown
- Replace Cartesian Products with Joins
- Projection Pushdown
- Reference



Split Conjunctive Predicates





Split Conjunctive Predicates



Decompose predicates into their simplest forms to make it easier for the optimizer to move them around.





Predicate Pushdown



<■ト < ■ト ■ の < 13/54



Predicate Pushdown

SELECT ARTIST.NAME FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID AND ALBUM.NAME="Andy's OG Remix"

Move the predicate to the lowest point in the plan after Cartesian products.





Replace Cartesian Products with Joins





Replace Cartesian Products with Joins



<=> <=> <=> <=> <0 < <> << 16/54



Projection Pushdown





Projection Pushdown

SELECT ARTIST.NAME FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID AND ALBUM.NAME="Andy's OG Remix"

Eliminate redundant attributes before pipeline breakers to reduce materialization cost.





Physical Query Optimization



< E ト 4 E ト E の Q C 20 / 54

Physical Query Optimization

- Transform a query plan's logical operators into physical operators.
 - Add more execution information
 - Select indexes / access paths
 - Choose operator implementations
 - Choose when to materialize (*i.e.*, temp tables).
- This stage must support cost model estimates.



・ = ト = の Q 21 / 54

Observation

- All the queries we have looked at so far have had the following properties:
 - Equi/Inner Joins
 - Simple join predicates that reference only two tables.
 - No cross products
- Real-world queries are much more complex:
 - Outer Joins
 - Semi-joins
 - Anti-joins



Reordering: Limitations

- No valid reordering is possible.
- The A_B operator is not commutative with B_C.
 - The DBMS does not know the value of B.val (may be NULL) until after computing the join with A.

= = = 22/54

```
• Reference
```

```
SELECT * FROM
A LEFT OUTER JOIN B
ON A.id = B.id
FULL OUTER JOIN C
ON B.val = C.id;
```



Plan Enumeration

• Approach 1: Transformation

Modify some part of an existing query plan to transform it into an alternative plan that is equivalent.

• Approach 2: Generative

- > Assemble building blocks to generate a query plan (similar to dynamic programming).
- Reference



Dynamic Programming Optimizer

- Model the query as a hypergraph and then incrementally expand to enumerate new plans.
- Algorithm Overview:
 - Iterate connected sub-graphs and incrementally add new edges to other nodes to complete query plan.
 - Use rules to determine which nodes the traversal is allowed to visit and expand.
- Reference



Cascades Optimizer

- Object-oriented implementation of the Volcano query optimizer.
- Materialize transformations on the fly (rather than pre-generate them all at once).
- Unlike Volcano, restricts the set of transformations to constrain the search space.
- Supports simplistic expression re-writing through a direct mapping function rather than an <u>exhaustive search</u>.



Cascades Optimizer

Cascades Optimizer: Design Decisions

- Optimization tasks as data structures.
- Rules to place **property enforcers** (*e.g.*, sorting order).
- Ordering of transformations by priority. Dynamically adjust ordering as we traverse the search tree.

= = = 27/54

• Predicates are first class citizens (same as logical/physical operators).



▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ 釣 Q @ 28/54

Cascades – Expressions

- An **expression** is an **operator** with zero or more input expressions.
- Logical Expression: $(A \bowtie B) \bowtie C$
- **Physical Expression**: $(A_{Seq} \bowtie_{HJ} B_{Seq}) \bowtie_{NL} C_{Idx}$

SELECT * FROM A JOIN B ON A.id = B.id JOIN C ON C.id = A.id;



Cascades – Groups

- A **group** is a set of **logically equivalent** logical and physical expressions that produce the same output.
 - All logical forms of an expression.
 - All physical expressions that can be derived from selecting the allowable physical operators for the corresponding logical forms.





Cascades – Multi-Expression

- Instead of explicitly instantiating all possible expressions in a group, the optimizer implicitly represents redundant expressions in a group as a **multi-expression**.
 - This reduces the number of transformations, storage overhead, and repeated cost estimations.
 - We can make decisions about whether to traverse [AB] first vs. [C] first.

Output: [ABC]	Logical Multi-Exps 1. [AB]⋈[C] 2. [BC]⋈[A] 3. [AC]⋈[B] 4. [A]⋈[BC] ;	Physical Multi-Exps 1. [AB]M _{SM} [C] 2. [AB]M _{SM} [C] 3. [AB]M _{ML} [C] 4. [BC]M _{SM} [A]
------------------	---	---



- A <u>rule</u> is a transformation of an expression to a logically equivalent expression.
 - Transformation Rule: Logical to Logical
 - Implementation Rule: Logical to Physical
- Each rule is represented as a pair of attributes:
 - **<u>Pattern</u>**: Defines the structure of the logical expression that can be applied to the rule.
 - **Substitute:** Defines the structure of the result after applying the rule.









<= ト < E ト E の < 33 / 54







Cascades – Memo Table

- Stores all previously explored alternatives in a compact graph structure / hash table.
- Equivalent operator trees and their corresponding plans are stored together in groups.

• Provides memoization, duplicate detection, and property + cost management.



Principle of Optimality

- Every sub-plan of an optimal plan is itself optimal.
- This allows the optimizer to restrict the search space to a smaller set of expressions.
 - The optimizer never has to consider a plan containing sub-plan P1 that has a greater cost than equivalent plan P2 with the same physical properties.
 - Reference



<= ▶ < = ▶ = の < 37 / 54





・ = ト = の < 38 / 54
</p>





<=> < => < => < => < 39/54





< E ト 4 E ト E の Q (40 / 54





<=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <<</> <</td>41/54





< ■ ト < ■ ト ■ の Q (P 42/54





Cascades – Memo Table



<= ト < E ト E の Q 43 / 54



Cascades – Memo Table



< ■ ト イ ■ ト ■ の Q (P 44/54



Search Termination

• Approach 1: Wall-clock Time

Stop after the optimizer runs for some length of time.

• Approach 2: Cost Threshold

Stop when the optimizer finds a plan that has a lower cost than some threshold.

• Approach 3: Transformation Exhaustion

Stop when there are no more ways to transform the target plan. Usually done per group.



Case Studies

< E ト 4 E ト E の Q C 47 / 54

Cascades: Implementations

Approach 1: Standalone Optimizer Generator

- Wisconsin OPT++ (1990s)
- Portland State Columbia (1990s)
- Pivotal Orca (2010s)
- Apache Calcite (2010s)
- Approach 2: Integrated
 - Microsoft SQL Server (1990s)
 - Tandem NonStop SQL (1990s)
 - Clustrix (2000s)
 - CMU Peloton (2010s RIP)



Pivotal Orca

- Standalone Cascades (Optimization-as-a-service).
- Reference
 - Originally written for Greenplum.
 - Extended to support HAWQ.
- A DBMS can use Orca by implementing API to send catalog + stats + logical plans and then retrieve physical plans.

< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 48/54

• Supports multi-threaded search.



Orca – Engineering

• Issue 1: Remote Debugging

- Automatically dump the state of the optimizer (with inputs) whenever an error occurs.
- The dump is enough to put the optimizer back in the exact same state later for further debugging.

• Issue 2: Optimizer Accuracy

Automatically check whether the ordering of the estimate cost of two plans matches their actual execution cost.



Apache Calcite

- Standalone extensible query optimization framework for data processing systems.
 - Support for pluggable query languages, cost models, and rules.
 - Does not distinguish between logical and physical operators. Physical properties are provided as annotations.

= = = 9 = 50 / 54

- Reference
- Originally part of LucidDB.



< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 51/54

MemSQL Optimizer

• <u>Rewriter</u>

Logical-to-logical transformations with access to the cost-model.

Enumerator

- Logical-to-physical transformations.
- Mostly join ordering.

• <u>Planner</u>

- Convert physical plans back to SQL.
- Contains MemSQL-specific commands for moving data.
- Reference



Conclusion

Parting Thoughts

- Cascades
 - Optimization tasks as data structures.
 - Rules to place property enforcers (e.g., sorting order).
 - Ordering of transformations by priority.
 - Predicates are first class citizens (same as logical/physical operators).

<= ト < E ト E の < 53 / 54

- All of this relies on a good *cost model*.
- A good cost model needs good statistics.



<=> < = > < = > < < > < < 54 / 54

Next Class

• Non-Traditional Query Optimization Techniques

