

Lecture 24: Server-side Logic Execution

CREATING THE NEXT[®]

ADA E AEA E OQO

▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 釣�?

2/62

Today's Agenda

Adaptive Query Optimization

- 1.1 Recap
- 1.2 Background
- 1.3 User-Defined Functions
- 1.4 UDF In-lining
- 1.5 UDFs to CTEs Conversion
- 1.6 Course Retrospective



Recap

Recap

Adaptive Query Optimization

• The "plan-first execute-second" approach to query planning is notoriously error prone.

= = = > = > = > = 4 / 62

- Optimizers should work with the execution engine to provide alternative plan strategies and receive feedback.
- Adaptive techniques now appear in many of the major commercial DBMSs
 - DB2, Oracle, MSSQL, TeraData
- Approaches
 - Approach 1: Modify Future Invocations
 - Approach 2: Replan Current Invocation
 - Approach 3: Plan Pivot Points



Cost Models

- Using number of tuples processed is a reasonable cost model for in-memory DBMSs.
 - But computing this is non-trivial.
 - ► A combination of sampling + sketches allows the DBMS to achieve accurate estimations.



Observation

• Until now, we have assumed that all of the logic for an application is located in the application itself.

<=> < = > < = < 0 < € / 62

- The application has a "conversation" with the DBMS to store/retrieve data.
 - Protocols: JDBC, ODBC



7/62

▲目▶▲目▶ 目 釣∝⊙

Today's Agenda

- Background
- UDF In-lining
- UDF to CTE Conversion



Conversational Database API



<=> < ≡ > = ∽ < 0 / 62



Conversational Database API



<=> < => < => < = < 0 < 0 / 62



Conversational Database API



<=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <0 < <>> <> 11/62



Conversational Database API





Conversational Database API





Conversational Database API

- The application has a "conversation" with the DBMS to store/retrieve data.
- Locks are held for the duration of the transaction
- Multiple network round-trips



Embedded Database Logic

- Move application logic into the DBMS to avoid multiple network round-trips and to extend the functionality of the DBMS.
- Potential Benefits
 - Efficiency
 - Reuse logic across web and mobile applications



Embedded Database Logic: Stored Procedures





Embedded Database Logic: Stored Procedures



<=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <0 < <>> <0 <</> <> </



Embedded Database Logic

- Stored Procedures (may contain DML statements, call UDFs e.t.c.)
- User-Defined Functions (UDFs)
- Triggers
- User-Defined Types (UDTs)
- User-Defined Aggregates (UDAs)



User-Defined Functions

-<≡> <≡> <≡ <> << 19/62

User-Defined Functions

- A <u>user-defined function</u> (UDF) is a function written by the application developer that extends the system's functionality beyond its built-in operations.
 - It takes in input arguments (scalars)
 - Perform some computation
 - Return a result (scalars, tables)
- Examples: PL/SQL, plPG/SQL



UDF Example

• Get all the customer ids and compute their <u>customer service level</u> based on the amount of money they have spent.

= = = 21/62

```
SELECT c_custkey, cust_level(c_custkey) FROM customer
CREATE FUNCTION cust_level(@ckey int) RETURNS char(10) AS
BEGIN
DECLARE @total float; DECLARE @level char(10);
SELECT @total = SUM(o_totalprice) FROM orders WHERE o_custkey=@ckey;
IF (@total > 1000000) SET @level = 'Platinum';
ELSE SET @level = 'Regular';
RETURN @level;
END
```



UDF Advantages

- They encourage modularity and code reuse
 - Different queries can reuse the same application logic without having to reimplement it each time.

= = = 22/62

- Fewer network round-trips between application server and DBMS for complex operations.
- Some types of application logic are easier to express and read as UDFs than SQL.



UDF Disadvantages (1)

- Query optimizers treat UDFs as black boxes.
 - Unable to estimate cost if you don't know what a UDF is going to do when you run it.
- It is difficult to parallelize UDFs due to correlated queries inside of them.
 - Some DBMSs will only execute queries with a single thread if they contain a UDF.
 - Some UDFs incrementally construct queries.



UDF Disadvantages (2)

- Complex UDFs in SELECT / WHERE clauses force the DBMS to execute iteratively.
 - RBAR = "Row By Agonizing Row"
 - Things get even worse if UDF invokes queries due to implicit joins that the optimizer cannot "see".
- Since the DBMS executes the commands in the UDF one-by-one, it is unable to perform cross-statement optimizations.



UDF Performance

```
SELECT l_shipmode,
 SUM (CASE
        WHEN o_orderpriority <> '1-URGENT' THEN 1
        ELSE 0
     END) AS low_line_count
 FROM orders, lineitem
WHERE o_orderkey = 1_orderkey
  AND 1_shipmode IN ('MAIL', 'SHIP')
  AND l_commitdate < l_receiptdate
  AND 1_shipdate < 1_commitdate
  AND l_receiptdate \geq '1994-01-01'
  AND dbo.cust_name(o_custkey) IS NOT NULL
GROUP BY 1_shipmode ORDER BY 1_shipmode
```

--- User Defined Function



<=> < ≡ > = ∽ < ≈ 26/62

UDF Performance

```
CREATE FUNCTION cust_name(@ckey int)
RETURNS char(25) AS
BEGIN
DECLARE @n char(25);
SELECT @n = c_name
FROM customer WHERE c_custkey = @ckey;
RETURN @n;
END
```



▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ め Q @ 27/62

UDF Performance

- Microsoft SQL Server
- TPC-H Q12 using a UDF (Scale Factor=1).
- Reference
 - Original Query: 0.8 sec
 - Query + UDF: 13 hr 30 min



Microsoft SQL Server: UDF History

- 2001 Microsoft adds TSQL Scalar UDFs.
- 2008 People realize that UDFs are "evil".
- 2010 Microsoft acknowledges that UDFs are evil.
- 2014 UDF decorrelation research @ IIT-B.
- 2015 Froid project begins @ MSFT Gray Lab.
- 2018 Froid added to SQL Server 2019.



Froid: UDF In-lining

- Automatically convert UDFs into relational expressions that are inlined as sub-queries.
 - Does not require the app developer to change UDF code.
- Perform conversion during the rewrite phase to avoid having to change the cost-base optimizer.

< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 30 / 62

- Commercial DBMSs already have powerful transformation rules for executing sub-queries efficiently.
- Reference



Sub-Queries

- The DBMS treats nested sub-queries in the where clause as functions that take parameters and return a single value or set of values.
- Two Approaches:
 - Rewrite to de-correlate and/or flatten them
 - Decompose nested query and store result to temporary table. Then the outer joins with the temporary table.



<=> < = > < = > = ∽ < ~ 32 / 62

Sub-Queries – De-correlate

```
SELECT name FROM sailors AS S
WHERE EXISTS (
    SELECT * FROM reserves AS R
    WHERE S.sid = R.sid
    AND R.day = '2020-04-22'
)
SELECT name
FROM sailors AS S, reserves AS R
WHERE S.sid = R.sid
AND R.day = '2020-04-22'
```



Lateral Join

- Subqueries appearing in FROM can be preceded by the key word LATERAL.
- This allows them to reference columns provided by preceding FROM items.
- Without LATERAL, each subquery is evaluated independently and so cannot cross-reference any other FROM item.
- LATERAL is primarily useful when the cross-referenced column is necessary for computing the row(s) to be joined.



Lateral Join

```
CREATE TABLE orders (
  id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY, user_id INT, created TIMESTAMP
):
--- Query
SELECT user_id, first_order, next_order, id FROM
(SELECT user_id, min(created) AS first_order FROM orders GROUP BY user_id) o1
   TNNER JOTN LATERAL
   (SELECT id. created AS next_order
   FROM orders
    WHERE user_id = o1.user_id AND created > o1.first order
   ORDER BY created ASC LIMIT 1)
   o2 ON true LIMIT 1;
```

< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 34/62



ADA E AEAAEA

FROID Overview

- Step 1 Transform Statements
- Step 2 Break UDF into Regions
- Step 3 Merge Expressions
- Step 4 Inline UDF Expression into Query
- Step 5 Run Through Query Optimizer



Step 1 – Transform Statements



▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○臣 → の Q @ →

36 / 62



Step 2 – Break UDF into Regions



< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 37 / 62



Step 3 – Merge Expressions



A E A E A E AQQ



Step 4 – Inline UDF Expression into Query



<= ▶ < = ▶ = の < 39 / 62



Step 5 - Run Through Query Optimizer



ADA E AEAAEA

40 / 62









< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 42/62





<= ト 4 E ト E の Q ペ 43 / 62







Supported Operations (2019)

• T-SOL Syntax:

- DECLARE, SET (variable declaration, assignment)
- SELECT (SQL query, assignment)
- ▶ *IF / ELSE / ELSEIF* (arbitrary nesting)
- RETURN (multiple occurrences)
- EXISTS, NOTEXISTS, ISNULL, IN, ... (Other relational algebra operations)
- UDF invocation (nested/recursive with configurable depth)
- All SQL datatypes.
- Limitations: Loops, Dynamic Queries, Exceptions



Applicability / Coverage

Workloads	Number of Scalar UDFs	Froid Compatible
Workload 1	178	150
Workload 2	90	82
Workload 3	22	21



UDF Improvement Study



< E ト 4 E ト E の Q · 47 / 62



UDFs to CTEs Conversion

UDFs-to-CTEs

- Rewrite UDFs into plain SQL commands.
- Use recursive common table expressions (CTEs) to support iterations and other control flow concepts not supported in Froid.
- DBMS Agnostic
 - Can be implemented as a rewrite middleware layer on top of any DBMS that supports CTEs.

<=> < => < => < => << 49/62

• Reference



ADA E AEAAEA

UDFs-to-CTEs Overview

- Step 1 Static Single Assignment Form
- Step 2 Administrative Normal Form
- Step 3 Mutual to Direct Recursion
- Step 4 Tail Recursion to WITH RECURSIVE
- Step 5 Run Through Query Optimizer



Step 1 – Static Single Assignment Form





Step 2 – Administrative Normal Form





Step 3 – Mutual to Direct Recursion





Step 4 – WITH RECURSIVE



< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 54 / 62



UDFs-to-CTEs Evaluation





Summary

• This is huge. You rarely get 500× speed up without either switching to a new DBMS or rewriting your application.

< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 56 / 62

- Another optimization approach is to compile the UDF into machine code.
 - This does <u>not</u> solve the optimizer's cost model problem.



Retrospective

Lessons learned

- Let's take a step back and think about what happened
- Systems programming is both hard <u>and</u> rewarding
- Become a better programmer through the study of database systems internals

< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 58 / 62

• Going forth, you should have a good understanding how systems work



SPORE SERSE

Big Ideas

- Database systems are awesome but are not magic.
- Elegant abstractions are magic.
- Declarativity enables usability and performance.
- Building systems software is more than hacking
- There are recurring motifs in systems programming.
- CS has an intellectual history and you can contribute.



What Next?

- We have covered the entire stack of systems programming
 - Storage Management (Part 1)
 - Access Methods (Part 1)
 - Query Execution (Part 1)
 - Logging and Recovery Methods (Part 2)
 - Concurrency Control (Part 2)
 - Query Optimization (Part 2)
- Stay in touch
 - > Tell me when this course helps you out with future courses (or jobs!)

< ■ ト 4 ■ ト ■ の Q @ 60 / 62

Ask me cool DBMS questions



< E ト 4 E ト E の Q へ 61 / 62

Parting Thoughts

- You have surmounted several challenges in this course.
- You make it all worthwhile.
- Please share your feedback via CIOS.
- Go forth and spread the gospel of data systems!



Next Class

• Project Presentations

