
Geographic Topic Model: Appendix

Jacob Eisenstein
Machine Learning Department
Carnegie Mellon University
jacobeis@cs.cmu.edu

Abstract

Faceted topic models combine topical content with extraneous facets, such as ideology or dialect. In this model, the “pure” topics are corrupted by the facets, using a hierarchical generative model in which the pure topics act as priors on the faceted topics. This is most easily modeled using the logistic-normal distribution, which admits a normal prior on the mean.

1 Model

We build on latent Dirichlet allocation:

- For each document d , draw $\theta_d \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha_\theta)$
- For each token $n < N_d$
 - Draw a topic index $z_n \sim \theta_d$
 - Draw a word token from the associated topic $w_n \sim \beta_{z_n}$

We augment each document with an additional discrete facet variable, $v_d \sim \vartheta$, which selects the appropriate faceted topic ($\vartheta \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha_\vartheta)$): $w_n \sim \beta_{z_n}^{(v_d)}$. Here z_n indexes the topic and v_d indicates the facet of the topic: for example, v_d may select the “Detroit” version of the “electronic music” topic. We may also introduce metadata \mathbf{y}_d , such that $\mathbf{y}_d \sim f(\mathbf{y}; \rho_{v_d})$, indicating an arbitrary probability distribution with parameters ρ_{v_d} . In the geographical topic model, $f(\mathbf{y}; \rho_{v_d})$ takes the form of a bivariate Gaussian; variational inference in this setting is deferred to [4].

The faceted topics take logistic-Normal priors, such that $\beta_k^{(j)} \sim \mathcal{LN}(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$.¹ It will be convenient to introduce the auxiliary variable η , such that $\beta = \exp \eta / \sum_i \exp \eta[i]$ and $\eta_k^{(j)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$. Throughout, i will index the word, j will index the facet, and k will index the topic. We draw the pure topics from Normal priors, $\mu_k \sim \mathcal{N}(a, b)$, and the topic-variances from Gamma priors, $\sigma_k^2[i] \sim \mathcal{G}(c, d)$.

2 Variational Approximation

We’ll make a fully-factorized approximation:

$$Q(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}, \theta, \vartheta, \eta, \mu, \sigma^2) = \left(\prod_k^K q(\mu_k) q(\sigma_k^2) \prod_j^J q(\eta_k^{(j)}) \right) \prod_d^D q(v_d) q(\theta_d) \prod_n^{N_d} q(z_{dn}). \quad (1)$$

¹All covariance matrices are diagonal in this work.

We want to maximize the following bound (with $\langle x \rangle$ denoting the expectation of x under the distribution q).

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2) &= \langle \log p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta} | \alpha_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \rangle - \langle \log q(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \rangle \\
&+ \sum_k \langle \log p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k | \mathbf{a}_k, b) \rangle - \langle \log q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \rangle \\
&+ \langle \log p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^2 | c, d) \rangle - \langle \log q(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^2) \rangle \\
&+ \sum_j \langle \log p(\boldsymbol{\eta}_k^{(j)} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \sigma_k^2) \rangle - \langle \log q(\boldsymbol{\eta}_k^{(j)}) \rangle \\
&+ \langle \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_d | \alpha_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \rangle - \langle \log q(\boldsymbol{\theta}_d) \rangle \\
&+ \langle \log p(v_d | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \rangle - \langle \log q(v_d) \rangle \\
&+ \sum_n^{N_d} \langle \log p(z_n | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle - \langle \log q(z_n) \rangle \\
&+ \langle \log p(w_n | z_n, v, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

The variational parameters on the normal distributions can be expressed in terms of expectations (e.g. $\langle \boldsymbol{\mu} \rangle$) and variances (e.g. $\mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$), so I won't introduce symbols for those. I'll write ϕ for the multinomial variational parameter on z_n , where $\phi_{nk} = \langle \delta_{z_n, k} \rangle$, the expectation of a delta function that returns 1 when $z_n = k$ and zero otherwise. The parameter ω_d plays an analogous role for v_d .

2.1 Taylor Approximation

The term $\langle \log p(w | z, v, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \rangle$ will involve computing $\langle \log \beta_k^{(j)}[w_n] \rangle$, the expected log of the topic probability for word w_n . Approximation is required:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \log \beta_k^{(j)}[w_n] \rangle &= \langle \eta_k^{(j)} \rangle - \langle \log \sum_i \exp \eta_k^{(j)}[i] \rangle \quad (2) \\
\langle \log \sum_i \exp \eta_k^{(j)}[i] \rangle &\approx \log \zeta_k^{(j)} - 1 + \left(\sum_i \langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)}[i] \rangle \right) / \zeta_k^{(j)},
\end{aligned}$$

making a first-order Taylor approximation of the intractable expectation of the normalizer. This approximation introduces the additional variational parameter ζ . See [1] for details.

3 Variational updates

3.1 Updates: η

3.1.1 Mean parameter $\langle \eta \rangle$

As shown above, the expected language models $\langle \log \beta \rangle$ can be computed deterministically from the parameters $\langle \eta \rangle$, $\langle \exp \eta \rangle$, and ζ .

Because $q(\eta)$ is Gaussian, $\langle \exp \eta \rangle$ has a simple closed form; ζ can also be computed directly [1]:

$$\langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)}[i] \rangle = \exp \left(\langle \eta_k^{(j)}[i] \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)}[i]) \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\zeta_k^{(j)} = \sum_i \langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)}[i] \rangle. \quad (4)$$

This leaves us with the task of computing $\langle \eta \rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}(\eta)$. Unfortunately, these are not available in closed form. We derive gradient-based updates from the objective L ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{[\langle \eta_k^{(j)} \rangle [i]]} &= \langle \log p(w|z, v, \eta) \rangle + \langle \log p(\eta_k^{(j)} [i] | \mu_k [i], \sigma_k^2 [i]) \rangle \\ &= C + \sum_d \omega_{dj} \sum_n \phi_{nk} \left(\delta_{w_n=i} \langle \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle - \langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle / \zeta_k^{(j)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma_k^{-2} [i] \rangle \langle (\eta_k^{(j)} [i] - \mu_k [i])^2 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where C is constant in $\langle \eta \rangle$. The final term will be relevant for several of the updates – it expands to

$$\langle (\eta^{(j)} - \mu)^2 \rangle = \langle \eta^{(j)} \rangle^2 + \langle \mu \rangle^2 + \mathcal{V}(\eta^{(j)}) + \mathcal{V}(\mu) - 2\langle \mu \rangle \langle \eta^{(j)} \rangle \quad (6)$$

To take the gradient $\partial L / \partial \langle \eta \rangle$, we first observe that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \langle \eta \rangle} \langle \exp \eta \rangle = \langle \exp \eta \rangle$, because the quantity inside the exponent (equation 3) is linear in $\langle \eta \rangle$. Applying equation 6, the gradient of the objective is,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \langle \eta_k^{(j)} \rangle [i]} = N(i, j, k) - N(j, k) \langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle / \zeta_k^{(j)} - (\langle \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle - \langle \mu_k [i] \rangle) \langle \sigma_k^{-2} [i] \rangle, \quad (7)$$

where $N(i, j, k)$ is the expected count of term i , facet j , and topic k (summing over ϕ and ω). Because $\zeta_k^{(j)} = \sum_{i'} \langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)} [i'] \rangle$, we have $\langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle / \zeta_k^{(j)} = \langle \beta_k^i \rangle$. This yields the intuitively appealing alternative form for the gradient,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \langle \eta_k^{(j)} \rangle [i]} = N(i, j, k) - N(j, k) \beta_k^{(j)} [i] - (\langle \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle - \langle \mu_k [i] \rangle) \langle \sigma_k^{-2} [i] \rangle,$$

in which the first two terms compare expected counts using observed words with the expected counts under the topic $\langle \beta \rangle$, and the third term penalizes divergence from the prior.

3.1.2 Variance $\mathcal{V}(\eta)$

We now compute the posterior variance $\mathcal{V}(\eta)$. Again, we begin by identifying the terms of the objective that relate to this variational parameter:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{[\mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)}) [i]]} &= \langle \log p(w|z, v, \eta) \rangle + \langle \log p(\eta_k^{(j)} [i] | \mu_k [i], \sigma_k^2 [i]) \rangle - \langle \log q(\eta_k^{(j)}) \rangle \\ &= C - \sum_d \omega_{dj} \sum_n \phi_{nk} \langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle / \zeta_k^{(j)} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma_k^{-2} [i] \rangle \mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)} [i]) + \frac{1}{2} \log \mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)} [i]), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The first term is from Equation 3, which shows that $\langle \exp \eta \rangle$ includes the variance; the second term is from Equation 6; the third term is obtained from the entropy of the normal distribution. Taking the derivative with respect to the parameter of interest,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)}) [i]} &= - \frac{N(j, k) \langle \exp \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle}{2 \zeta_k^{(j)}} - \langle \sigma_k^{-2} [i] \rangle / 2 + \frac{1}{2 \mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)}) [i]} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \left(N(j, k) \langle \beta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle + \langle \sigma_k^{-2} [i] \rangle - \mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)}) [i]^{-1} \right) \\ \mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)}) [i] &= \left(\sum_d \omega_{dj} \sum_n \phi_{nk} \langle \beta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle + \langle \sigma_k^{-2} [i] \rangle \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Note that the last line is not a closed-form solution, because $\langle \beta_k^{(j)} \rangle$ implicitly includes $\mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)})$; the goal is to give intuition. If the expected word counts are zero, then the posterior variance $\mathcal{V}(\eta)$ will be identical to the prior $\langle \sigma^2 \rangle$; as the expected word counts increase, the posterior variance goes to zero.

3.2 Updates: μ

The update for the expectation $\langle \mu_k \rangle$ follows directly from the normal equations:

$$\langle \mu_k [i] \rangle = \frac{b^2 \sum_j^J \langle \eta_k^{(j)} [i] \rangle + \langle \sigma_k^2 [i] \rangle a [i]}{b^2 J + \langle \sigma_k^2 [i] \rangle}. \quad (10)$$

We'll also need the variance of the variational distribution, $\mathcal{V}(\mu_k)$. For simplicity we'll elide the topic index k and the word index i , but keep in mind that we need to compute $\mathcal{V}(\mu_k [i])$ for all k and i . The relevant terms for the variational bound are:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{[\mathcal{V}(\mu)]} &= -\langle \log q(\mu) \rangle + \langle \log p(\mu | a, b^2) \rangle + \sum_j^J \langle \log p(\eta^{(j)} | \mu, \sigma^2) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \mathcal{V}(\mu) - \frac{1}{2b^2} \langle (\mu - a)^2 \rangle - \sum_j^J \frac{1}{2} \langle (\eta^{(j)} - \mu)^2 \rangle \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\log \mathcal{V}(\mu) - \mathcal{V}(\mu) b^{-2} - J \mathcal{V}(\mu) \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle) \\ \partial L / \partial \mathcal{V}(\mu) &= \mathcal{V}(\mu)^{-1} - (b^{-2} + J \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle) \\ \mathcal{V}(\mu) &= (b^{-2} + J \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Again, the solution is available in closed form, with a straightforward interpretation.

3.3 Updates: σ^2

Eliding the word index i and the topic index k , the relevant parts of the variational bound for the expected variation $\langle \sigma_{ki}^2 \rangle$ are:

$$L_{[\langle \sigma^2 \rangle]} = \sum_j^J \langle \log p(\eta^{(j)} | \mu, \sigma^2) \rangle + \langle \log p(\sigma^2 | c, d) \rangle - \langle \log q(\sigma^2) \rangle. \quad (12)$$

The relevant elements of the first term of equation 12 are:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{[\eta | \sigma^2]} &= \sum_j^J -\frac{1}{2} \langle \log 2\pi \sigma^2 \rangle - \langle (\eta_j - \mu)^2 / 2\sigma^2 \rangle \\ &= C - \frac{1}{2} \sum_j^J (\langle \log \sigma^2 \rangle + \langle (\eta_j - \mu)^2 \rangle \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle) \\ &= C - \frac{J}{2} \langle \log \sigma^2 \rangle - S \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $S = \sum_j^J \langle (\eta_j - \mu)^2 \rangle / 2$.

3.3.1 Exponential version

There are several ways we can define the variational distribution $q(\sigma_{ki}^{-2})$, but the simplest is to use the exponential distribution, $q(\sigma_{ki}^{-2}) = \text{Exp}(\sigma_{ki}^{-2}; \gamma_{ki})$, where γ_{ki} is a variational parameter. Then we have, $\langle \sigma_{ki}^{-2} \rangle = 1/\gamma_{ki}$ and $\langle \log \sigma_{ki}^2 \rangle = -\langle \log \sigma_{ki}^{-2} \rangle = -\psi(1) + \log \gamma_{ki}$.

Recall that the prior on σ^2 is a Gamma distribution, $\sigma^2 \sim \mathcal{G}(c, d)$. Then the relevant elements for the second two terms of equation 12 are

$$\begin{aligned} L &= C - (c - 1) \langle \log \sigma^{-2} \rangle - d \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle - \log \gamma - 1 \\ &= C - c \log \gamma - d/\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

so the overall likelihood bound is:

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= C - \frac{J}{2} \langle \log \sigma^2 \rangle - S \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle - c \log \gamma - d/\gamma \\
&= C - \left(\frac{J}{2} + c \right) \log \gamma - (S + d)/\gamma \\
dL/d\gamma &= - \left(\frac{J}{2} + c \right) \gamma^{-1} + (S + d) \gamma^{-2} \\
&= - \left(\frac{J}{2} + c \right) \gamma + (S + d) \\
\gamma &= \frac{S + d}{\frac{J}{2} + c}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we can update γ in closed form. Remember that we are eliding the topic index k and the term index i , so we need to compute each γ_{ki} .

3.3.2 Gamma version

In the Geographical Topic Model paper [2], the variational distribution over σ_{ki}^2 is a Gamma distribution, $q(\sigma_{ki}^2) = \mathcal{G}(\sigma_{ki}^2; \tilde{c}_{ki}, \tilde{d}_{ki})$, with variational parameters \tilde{c}_{ki} and \tilde{d}_{ki} . Those updates cannot be computed in closed form, but the derivatives are given here. Eliding k and i , we note the following properties of the Gamma distribution:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \sigma^2 \rangle &= \tilde{c}/\tilde{d} \\
\langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle &= \tilde{d}/(\tilde{c} - 1) \\
\langle \log \sigma^2 \rangle &= \Psi(\tilde{c}) - \log \tilde{d}.
\end{aligned}$$

The relevant elements from the remaining two terms of equation 12 are found in the PDF of the Gamma distribution:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{[\sigma^2|\tilde{c},\tilde{d}]} &= c \log d - \log \Gamma(c) + (c - 1)(\Psi(\tilde{c}) - \log \tilde{d}) - \tilde{c}d/\tilde{d} \\
&\quad - \tilde{c} \log \tilde{d} + \log \Gamma(\tilde{c}) - (\tilde{c} - 1)(\Psi(\tilde{c}) - \log \tilde{d}) + \tilde{c}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$= (c - \tilde{c})(\Psi(\tilde{c}) - \log \tilde{d}) + \tilde{c}(1 - d/\tilde{d} - \log \tilde{d}) + \log \Gamma(\tilde{c}) \tag{14}$$

$$= (c - \tilde{c}) \langle \log \sigma^2 \rangle + \tilde{c}(1 - d/\tilde{d} - \log \tilde{d}) + \log \Gamma(\tilde{c}) \tag{15}$$

We can now take derivatives. Note that Ψ' equals the trigamma function:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{[\tilde{c}]} &= (c - \tilde{c} - J/2) \langle \log \sigma^2 \rangle - S \langle \sigma^{-2} \rangle + (1 - d/\tilde{d} - \log \tilde{d})\tilde{c} + \log \Gamma(\tilde{c}) \\
dL/d\tilde{c} &= - \langle \log \sigma^2 \rangle + (c - \tilde{c} - J/2) \Psi'(\tilde{c}) + S \frac{\tilde{d}}{(1 - \tilde{c})^2} + 1 - d/\tilde{d} - \log \tilde{d} + \Psi(\tilde{c}) \\
&= (c - \tilde{c} - J/2) \Psi'(\tilde{c}) + S \frac{\tilde{d}}{(1 - \tilde{c})^2} + 1 - d/\tilde{d}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Now we'll do the other parameter, \tilde{d} :

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{[\tilde{d}]} &= - (c - 1) \log \tilde{d} - \tilde{c}d/\tilde{d} - \tilde{c} \log \tilde{d} + (\tilde{c} - 1) \log \tilde{d} + \frac{J}{2} \log \tilde{d} - \frac{S}{\tilde{c} - 1} \tilde{d} \\
&= (J/2 - c) \log \tilde{d} - \tilde{c}d/\tilde{d} - \frac{S}{\tilde{c} - 1} \tilde{d} \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \tilde{d}} &= (J/2 - c)/\tilde{d} + \tilde{c}d/\tilde{d}^2 - \frac{S}{\tilde{c} - 1} \\
0 &= (J/2 - c)\tilde{d} + \tilde{c}d - \frac{S}{\tilde{c} - 1} \tilde{d}^2
\end{aligned}$$

where we have multiplied through by \tilde{d}^2 . We can now apply the quadratic formula to solve for \tilde{d} , obtaining

$$\tilde{d} = -\frac{\tilde{c}-1}{2S} \left(c - J/2 - \sqrt{(J/2 - c)^2 + 4d\frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{c}-1}S} \right) \quad (17)$$

In practice, we iterate between gradient-based updates for \tilde{c} and closed-form updates for \tilde{d} .

3.4 Update: θ

We'll need the expectation $\langle \log \theta \rangle$. The update is identical to LDA:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \log \theta_k \rangle &= \Psi(\alpha_\theta + \sum_n \phi_{nk}) - \Psi(K\alpha_\theta + \sum_n \sum_k \phi_{nk}) \\ &= \Psi(\alpha_\theta + \sum_n \phi_{nk}) - \Psi(K(N + \alpha_\theta)) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where Ψ is the digamma function, K is the number of topics, and the document index d is implicit.

3.5 Update: ϑ

The update for ϑ is similar, but we sum over all documents rather than indices in a single document:

$$\langle \log \vartheta_j \rangle = \Psi(\alpha_\vartheta + \sum_d \omega_{dj}) - \Psi(J(D + \alpha_\vartheta)), \quad (19)$$

where J is the number of facets and ω_{dj} is a variational parameter that characterizes a discrete distribution over the set $1, 2, \dots, J$ (defined above).

3.6 Updates: ω and ϕ

The parameter ϕ parametrizes the topic indicator z ; its update is identical to standard LDA, except that you have to marginalize over settings of v :

$$\phi_{nk} \propto \exp\{\langle \log \theta_{dk} \rangle + \sum_j \omega_{dj} \langle \log \beta_k^{(j)}[w_n] \rangle\}, \quad (20)$$

where $\langle \log \beta_k^{(j)}[i] \rangle$ is defined in Equation 2. The update for ω is similar:

$$\omega_{dj} \propto \exp\{\langle \log \vartheta_j \rangle + \sum_n \sum_k \phi_{nk} \langle \log \beta_k^{(j)}[w_n] \rangle\} p(y_d | \rho_j), \quad (21)$$

where we append a probability distribution over the metadata y_d at the end.

4 Algorithm

The basic idea here is variational expectation-maximization: iterate over the variational parameters until convergence, then update the remaining (non-variational) parameters (mostly priors, but also ρ).

```

Initialize all  $\phi$  from Latent Dirichlet Allocation
Initialize all  $\omega$  from a mixture model on  $y$  with  $J$  components
Initialize all  $\langle \eta_k^{(j)} \rangle, \langle \mu_k \rangle, \langle \theta_d \rangle, \langle \vartheta \rangle$  empirically (by counting)
Initialize variance parameters sensibly
while not done with EM do
  while not done with E-step do
    for Each topic  $k$  do

```

for Each facet j **do**
 Use LBFGS to update $\langle \eta_k^{(j)} \rangle$ from the Equations 5 (objective) and 7 (gradient).
 Use LBFGS to update $\mathcal{V}(\eta_k^{(j)})$ from the Equation 8 (objective) and 9 (gradient).
 Update $\zeta_k^{(j)}$ from Equation 4.
end for
 Use the closed-form equations 10 and 11 to update $\langle \mu_k \rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}(\mu_k)$.
 Use LBFGS to update \tilde{c}_k from the Equations 15 (objective) and 16 (gradient).
 Use the closed-form equation 17 to update \tilde{d}_k ,
end for
for Each document d **do**
 while not converged **do**
 for Each token $n < N_d$ **do**
 Update ϕ_n from Equation 20
 end for
 Update ω_d from Equation 21
 Update $\langle \theta_d \rangle$ from Equation 18
 end while
 Update $\langle \vartheta \rangle$ from Equation 19
end while
 Update the metadata parameters ρ .
 Optionally: update the Dirichlet priors α_θ and α_{ϑ} (see [3]).
 Optionally: update the Normal priors \mathbf{a} and b by taking point estimates of all the μ_j and fitting a Gaussian.
 Optionally: update the Gamma priors c and d by taking point estimates of all the σ_j .²
end while

5 Simplified Approximation

We now consider a simplified variational approximation, in which $\langle \sigma_k^2[i] \rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}(\mu_k)$ are identical for all terms i . Thus, the variational gamma parameters \tilde{c} and \tilde{d} are now scalars rather than vectors. We simply modify the derivation in Section 3.3, starting with equation 12:

$$L_{[\tilde{c}_k, \tilde{d}_k]} = W (\langle \log p(\sigma_k^2 | c, d) \rangle - \langle \log q(\sigma_k^2) \rangle) + \sum_j^J \sum_i^W \langle \log p(\eta_k^{(j)}[i] | \mu_k[i], \sigma_k^2[i]) \rangle$$

Essentially, the gradient and objective work out to be the same as in Equations 15 and 16, except that we use the average $\frac{1}{W} \sum_j^J \sum_i^W \langle (\eta^{(j)}[i] - \mu[i])^2 \rangle$. Similarly, we obtain a closed-form for \tilde{d} in which the S term is computed in average over all terms.

References

- [1] D. M. Blei and J. Lafferty. Correlated topic models. In *NIPS*, 2006.
- [2] J. Eisenstein, B. O’Connor, N. A. Smith, and E. P. Xing. A latent variable model of geographic lexical variation. In *Proceedings of EMNLP*, 2010.
- [3] T. P. Minka. Estimating a Dirichlet distribution. Technical report, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2003.
- [4] W. D. Penny. Variational Bayes for d -dimensional Gaussian mixture models. Technical report, University College London, 2001.

²Unfortunately there’s no closed-form for this. Consider playing sneaky games with these priors to control how much variance is in the facets.