

Divide & conquer:

Classic example: MergeSort

Input: array $A = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ of n numbers

Output: sorted A (assume n is a power of 2)

idea: split A into 2 sublists,
recursively sort each sublist
then merge the sorted sublists.

MergeSort(A)

if $n = 1$, return(A)

if $n > 1$,

let $B = [a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{n}{2}}]$

let $C = [a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, \dots, a_n]$

$D = \text{MergeSort}(B)$

$E = \text{MergeSort}(C)$

$F = \text{Merge}(D, E)$

Return(F)

Merge takes 2 sorted arrays X & Y

& outputs sorted $Z = XUY$.

idea: take $\min\{X_i, Y_j\}$ & then remove & repeat

Merge(X, Y):

input: $X = [X_1, \dots, X_k]$ & $Y = [Y_1, \dots, Y_l]$
where X & Y are both sorted

output: sorted $Z = [Z_1, \dots, Z_{k+l}] = XUY$

$i=1, j=1, m=1.$

while ($i \leq k$ & $j \leq l$):

if $X_i \leq Y_j$ then $Z_m = X_i, i++, m++$

else $Z_m = Y_j, j++, m++$

if $i == k$, return(Z, Y_j, \dots, Y_l)

if $j == l$, return(Z, X_i, \dots, X_k).

Running time of Merge: $O(k+l)$ time.

For MergeSort,

let $T(n)$ = running time on worst case input for n numbers.

$$\text{Then, } T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

$$\text{Base case: } T(1) = O(1)$$

We'll see that this solves to: $T(n) = O(n \log n)$

In this class, we assume basic arithmetic operations (add, multiply, divide) take $O(1)$ time since we can use hardware implementation.

But for cryptography HUGE # of bits ≈ 1000 .

let n = # of bits in the input numbers.

What is time for arithmetic operations as a function of n ?

Adding 2 n-bit numbers x & y

example: $x = 53 = (110101)_2$
 $y = 35 = (100011)_2$

$$\begin{array}{r} 110101 \\ + 100011 \\ \hline 1011000 \end{array}$$

$\leq n+1$ columns & ≤ 3 bits/column

$\Rightarrow O(n) \times O(1) = O(n)$ total time.

Multiplying n-bit x & y

easy: $\underbrace{x+x+\dots+x}_y \text{ terms}$ takes $O(ny)$ time
 but $y \leq 2^n$ so $O(n2^n)$.

Grade school algorithm is better:

Example: $x = 13 = (1101)_2$
 $y = 11 = (1011)_2$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1101 \\ \times 1011 \\ \hline 1101 \\ 11010 \\ + 000000 \\ 1101000 \\ \hline 1000111 \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{r} 1101 \\ \times 1011 \\ \hline 1101 \\ 11010 \\ + 000000 \\ 1101000 \\ \hline 1000111 \end{array}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{adding} \\ n \text{ numbers} \\ \text{each } \leq 2^{n-1} \\ \text{bits} \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow O(n) \times O(n) = O(n^2)$ time

Is this the best?

No, we'll do faster.

Alternative algorithm

from Al-Khwarizmi - mathematician in Baghdad in 9th century AD

who wrote books on algorithms, e.g. solving quadratic equations.

term "algorithms" comes from his name.

Take input x & y

1) Halve y (& round down) & double x

2) Stop when $y=1$.

3) Cross out rows where y is even.

4) Add remaining x 's.

Example: $x=13$ $y=11$

13	11
26	5
52	2
+ 104	1
<hr/>	
143	

Why does it work?

Note traditional algorithm:

$$\begin{array}{r} 1101 = 13 \\ 11010 = 26 \\ 000000 = 0 \\ + 1101000 = 104 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{b/c 3rd least significant} \\ \text{bit of } y \text{ is } 0 \end{array}$$

So the 2 algorithms are the same.

Faster approach using Divide & conquer.

Assume n is a power of 2 (can pad with 0s & \leq double the size)

Input: n -bit numbers x & y .

Divide & conquer idea:

Break input into 2 halves

$$\text{So } X = \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} X_L & X_R \\ \hline \end{array}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \\ \text{1st } \frac{n}{2} \text{ bits} \quad \text{last } \frac{n}{2} \text{ bits} \end{array}$$

$$Y = \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} Y_L & Y_R \\ \hline \end{array}}$$

for example, if $x = 182 = (10110110)$ then

$$X_L = 1011 = 11$$

$$X_R = 0110 = 6$$

$$x = 11 \times 2^4 + 6 = 182$$

#

in general, $X = 2^{n/2} X_L + X_R$

So, $X = 2^{n/2} X_L + X_R$ & $Y = 2^{n/2} Y_L + Y_R$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} XY &= (2^{n/2} X_L + X_R)(2^{n/2} Y_L + Y_R) \\ &= 2^n X_L Y_L + 2^{n/2} (X_L Y_R + X_R Y_L) + X_R Y_R \end{aligned}$$

Easy idea:

recursively compute

$X_L Y_L$

$X_L Y_R$

$X_R Y_L$

$X_R Y_R$

then get XY by adding & subtracting

EasyMultiply(x, y):

$X_L =$ 1st $\frac{n}{2}$ bits of x , $X_R =$ last $\frac{n}{2}$ bits of x

$Y_L =$ 1st $\frac{n}{2}$ bits of y , $Y_R =$ last $\frac{n}{2}$ bits of y

$\alpha = \text{EasyMultiply}(X_L, Y_L)$

$\beta = \text{EasyMultiply}(X_L, Y_R)$

$\gamma = \text{EasyMultiply}(X_R, Y_L)$

$\delta = \text{EasyMultiply}(X_R, Y_R)$

Return $(2^n \alpha + 2^{n/2} (\beta + \gamma) + \delta)$

} $4T(n/2)$

$\leftarrow O(n)$ time

Running time:

$$T(n) = 4T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

which solves to $T(n) = O(n^2)$

So no faster.

Idea of Gauss:

2 complex numbers $(a+bi)$ & $(c+di)$

Goal: compute $(a+bi)(c+di)$

$$= ac - bd + (bc + ad)i$$

This seems to need 4 real number multiplications:

$$ac, bd, bc, ad$$

But: $bc + ad = (a+b)(c+d) - ac - bd$

So can do with only 3:

$$ac, bd, (a+b)(c+d)$$

Back to multiplying x & y :

$$\text{let } a = x_L, b = x_R, c = y_L, d = y_R$$

$$\text{then } bc + ad = (a+b)(c+d) - ac - bd$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \swarrow & \searrow \\ & x_R y_L + x_L y_R & & (x_L + x_R)(y_L + y_R) & & x_L y_L & x_R y_R \end{array}$$

Thus, $x_R y_L + x_L y_R = (x_L + x_R)(y_L + y_R) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R$

So recursively solve: $x_L y_L, x_R y_R$ & $(x_L + x_R)(y_L + y_R)$

FastMultiply(x, y):

$$x_L = \text{1st } \frac{n}{2} \text{ bits of } x \quad \& \quad x_R = \text{last } \frac{n}{2} \text{ bits of } x$$

$$y_L = \text{" } y \quad \& \quad y_R = \text{" } y$$

$$\alpha = \text{FastMultiply}(x_L, y_L)$$

$$\beta = \text{FastMultiply}(x_R, y_R)$$

$$\gamma = \text{FastMultiply}(x_L + x_R, y_L + y_R)$$

$$\text{Return}(2^n \alpha + 2^{n/2} (\gamma - \alpha - \beta) + \beta)$$

Running time:

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n) = O\left(n^{\log_2 3}\right)$$

$$\log_2 3 \approx 1.59.$$