

Today: Knapsack (search version) is NP-complete.

Main task: Subset-sum is NP-complete.

Subset-sum:

input: positive integers a_1, \dots, a_n & t

output: subset S of objects $\{1, \dots, n\}$

where $\sum_{i \in S} a_i = t$

& NO if no such S exists.

Using DP, can solve in $O(nt)$ time.

Proof that Subset-sum is NP-complete:

a) Subset-sum \in NP:

Given inputs a_1, \dots, a_n, t & S , in $O(n)$ time we can check that $\sum_{i \in S} a_i = t$

b) 3SAT \rightarrow Subset-sum:

Take input f for 3SAT

where f has variables x_1, \dots, x_n
& clauses C_1, \dots, C_m

Let's make some basic assumptions about f :

- no clause contains x_i & \bar{x}_i
otherwise it's satisfied & we can drop it.
- each x_i is in ≥ 1 clause, otherwise set $x_i = F$
& simplify
- similarly, each \bar{x}_i is in ≥ 1 clause.

We'll define an instance of subset-sum which has the following input:

$2n + 2m$ numbers: $v_1, v_1', \dots, v_n, v_n', s_1, s_1', \dots, s_m, s_m'$
& +

all numbers will be base 10 & $\leq n+m$ digits

(note: the numbers are $\leq 10^{n+m}$)

so they are HUGE

Intuition: v_i corresponds to $x_i : v_i \in S \iff x_i = T$
 v_i' " $\bar{x}_i : v_i' \in S \iff x_i = F$

— Hence we want that exactly 1 of v_i, v_i' are in S .

To achieve this:

in digit i :

put a 1 for v_i, v_i' & +
 & put a 0 for all other numbers.

(assuming no carries between digits, then this ensures exactly one of v_i, v_i' are in a solution S)

For clause C_j , use digit $n+j$:

if $x_i \in C_j$ put a 1 in v_i

if $\bar{x}_i \in C_j$ put a 1 in v_i'

Want that 1, 2, or 3 of these literals are included in S

So put a 3 in +

Use s_j, s_j' as buffers (in case only 1 or 2 literals included)

put a 1 in s_j, s_j'

all other numbers have 0 in digit $n+j$.

Note: To get a sum of 3 in digit $n+j$ need to include in S :

- a) = 1 literal of C_j & $s_j + s_j'$
- b) = 2 literals of C_j & s_j or s_j' (but not both)
- c) = 3 literals of C_j & none of s_j, s_j'

all are ≥ 1 literal of C_j so C_j satisfied.

Let's look at an example.

Example: $f = (\overline{x_1} \vee \overline{x_2} \vee \overline{x_3}) \wedge (\overline{x_1} \vee \overline{x_2} \vee x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \overline{x_2} \vee x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2)$

5

| | Digit 1 corresponds to | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|
| | x_1 | x_2 | x_3 | C_1 | C_2 | C_3 | C_4 | |
| V_1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | $V_1 = 1000011$ |
| V_1' | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | $V_1' = 1001100$ |
| V_2 | | 1 | | | | | 1 | $V_2 = 0100001$ |
| V_2' | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | $V_2' = 0101110$ |
| V_3 | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | $V_3 = 0010110$ |
| V_3' | | | 1 | 1 | | | | $V_3' = 0011000$ |
| S_1 | | | | 1 | | | | $S_1 = 0001000$ |
| S_1' | | | | 1 | | | | $S_1' = 0001000$ |
| S_2 | | | | | 1 | | | $S_2 = 0000100$ |
| S_2' | | | | | 1 | | | $S_2' = 0000100$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | 1 | | $S_3 = 0000010$ |
| S_3' | | | | | | 1 | | $S_3' = 0000010$ |
| S_4 | | | | | | | 1 | $S_4 = 0000001$ |
| S_4' | | | | | | | 1 | $S_4' = 0000001$ |
| + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $T = 1113333$ |

(6)

Lemma: Subset-sum has a solution S iff 3SAT f has a satisfying assignment

Proof:

(\Rightarrow) For 1st n digits,
 S must include v_i or v_i' (but not both)
 to get a 1 in digit i (as required by $+$)

if $v_i \in S \Rightarrow$ set $x_i = T$

if $v_i' \in S \Rightarrow$ set $x_i = F$

For digit $n+j$

to get a sum of 3 need to include
 ≥ 1 literal from C_j

so C_j is satisfied by the above assignment.

(\Leftarrow) if $x_i = T$ add v_i to S & if $x_i = F$ add v_i' to S .
 Hence i^{th} digit of T is satisfied.

For clause $C_j \geq 1$ literal is satisfied
 and add s_j &/or s_j' to get up to a sum of 3.

□

This proves that the subset-sum instance we created has a solution iff the original 3SAT input f has a satisfying assignment.

And given a solution S to subset-sum we saw how to get the satisfying assignment.

This finishes the NP-completeness proof for Subset-sum.

Knapsack: (search version)

input: n objects with integer weights w_1, \dots, w_n
& integer values v_1, \dots, v_n

capacity B

value V

output: subset S of objects with

$$\sum_{i \in S} w_i \leq B$$

$$\& \sum_{i \in S} v_i \geq V$$

& NO if no such S exists.

Knapsack is NP-complete

a) Knapsack \in NP

Consider input $\{w_1, \dots, w_n, v_1, \dots, v_n, B, V\}$ & solution S .

Let's assume the input size is N .

So $n \leq N$ & all numbers are $\leq 2^N$.

Then in $O(N^2)$ time we can check that

$$\sum_{i \in S} w_i \leq B \quad \& \quad \sum_{i \in S} v_i \geq V.$$

b) Subset-sum \rightarrow Knapsack.

Take input a_1, \dots, a_n & t for subset-sum.

Set $v_i = w_i = a_i$.

Set $B = V = t$.

Run Knapsack on $\{w_1, \dots, w_n, v_1, \dots, v_n, B, V\}$

A solution S has

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S} w_i \leq B &\Rightarrow \sum_{i \in S} a_i \leq t \\ \& \quad \sum_{i \in S} v_i \geq V &\Rightarrow \sum_{i \in S} a_i \geq t \end{aligned} \right\} \sum_{i \in S} a_i = t$$

So it's a solution for subset-sum. \square