

①

For points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ & $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k$,
their $L_2 =$ Euclidean distance

$$\|x - y\| = \|x - y\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - y_i)^2}$$

We saw fingerprinting where we transformed from
all integers to modulo a prime.

Here we're going to look at the geometric version.

Suppose we have n points x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathbb{R}^k
where k is large.

We want to project down into a small # of
dimensions & preserve (approximately) the
distances between all pairs of points.

Choose a random vector $r = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$
(will specify later how to choose r)

Let $f_r: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $f_r(x) = \langle x, r \rangle = x^T r$
 $= \sum_{j=1}^k x_j r_j$

(this is the projection of x onto r)

Gaussian = normal distribution:

$$\text{for } X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\text{if } X_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) \text{ \& } X_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$$

$$\text{then } X_1 + X_2 \sim N(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

Choose r_1, \dots, r_k independently from standard Gaussian
 $= N(0, 1)$

$$\text{Then, for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k, f_r(x) - f_r(y) = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j r_j - \sum_{j=1}^k y_j r_j = \sum_{j=1}^k (x_j - y_j) r_j$$

For fixed x & y , since $r_j \sim N(0, 1)$

$$\text{then } (x_j - y_j) r_j \sim N(0, (x_j - y_j)^2)$$

(for r.v. X with mean μ & variance σ^2 , λX has var. $\lambda^2 \sigma^2$)
 for constant λ

Since Gaussians add see

$$\text{thus, } (f_r(x) - f_r(y)) \sim N\left(0, \sum_j (x_j - y_j)^2\right) \\ = N\left(0, \|x - y\|_2^2\right)$$

(3)

Note for r.v. X with mean 0, $\text{Var}(X) = E[X^2]$.

Hence, for the r.v. $f_r(x) - f_r(y)$:

$$E[(f_r(x) - f_r(y))^2] = \|x - y\|_2^2$$

Therefore, $(f_r(x) - f_r(y))^2$ is an unbiased estimator for the squared distance b/w x & y .

Now that just preserves the distance in expectation, but we want to do this for all $\binom{n}{2}$ distances & we want to do this with high probability.

So instead of picking one r let's

pick Q vectors $r_1, \dots, r_Q \in \mathbb{R}^k$

then we'll get Q independent estimates of $\|x - y\|_2^2$
& take the average = mean of these estimates.

By a Chernoff-type inequality we'll get that $d = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log n\right)$ suffices, to preserve all $\binom{n}{2}$ distances within $(1 \pm \epsilon)$ -factor.

Theorem: [Johnson, Lindenstrauss '84]

④

For all $\epsilon > 0$, all $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

there is a (linear) map $f: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d = O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$

where for all $x_i, x_j \in X$,

$$(1-\epsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|f(x_i) - f(x_j)\|_2^2 \leq (1+\epsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$$

with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$

χ^2 -distribution:

Let Z_1, \dots, Z_l be iid $N(0,1)$ random variables

$$\& \text{ let } Q = \sum_{i=1}^l Z_i^2$$

then $Q \sim \chi_l^2$

Chernoff-type bound for χ^2 -distribution:

$$\Pr(\chi_l^2 \geq (1+\epsilon)l) \leq e^{-\frac{l}{4}(\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3)}$$

$$\Pr(\chi_l^2 \leq (1-\epsilon)l) \leq e^{-\frac{l}{4}(\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3)}$$

Proof:

$$\Pr(\chi_l^2 \geq (1+\epsilon)l) = \Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^l Z_i^2 \geq (1+\epsilon)l\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(e^{\lambda \sum Z_i^2} \geq e^{(1+\epsilon)l\lambda}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{E[e^{\lambda \sum Z_i^2}]}{e^{(1+\epsilon)l\lambda}} = \frac{E[e^{\lambda \sum Z_i^2}]}{e^{(1+\epsilon)l\lambda}}$$

$$= \frac{(E[e^{\lambda Z_i^2}])^l}{e^{(1+\epsilon)l\lambda}}$$

⑥

Claim: $E[e^{\lambda z_1^2}] = e^{\left(\frac{1}{1-2\lambda}\right)^{1/2}}$ for $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$

Thus,

$$\Pr(\chi_l^2 \geq (1+\epsilon)l) \leq e^{-(1+\epsilon)l\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{1-2\lambda}\right)^{l/2}$$

$$\text{Set } \lambda = \frac{\epsilon}{2(1+\epsilon)}$$

Then:

$$\leq \left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{e^\epsilon}\right)^{l/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{e^{\epsilon - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{2}}}{e^\epsilon}\right)^{l/2}$$

Since $1+\epsilon \leq e^{\epsilon - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{2}}$

$$\leq e^{-\frac{l}{4}(\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3)}$$

□

This concentration for χ^2 distributions implies the following lemma. (7)

Lemma: For $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, let A be a $l \times m$ matrix where each entry is iid $N(0,1)$. Then,

$$\Pr\left((1-\epsilon)\|x\|^2 \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} Ax \right\|^2 \leq (1+\epsilon)\|x\|^2 \right) \geq 1 - 2e^{-\frac{l}{4}(\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3)}$$

Then to prove the JL-theorem

$$\text{let } f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} Ax$$

& the theorem follows by a union bound.

Why $\frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}$ scaling?

It gets squared so we get $\frac{1}{l}$

Also, if $\|x\|^2 = 1$ then we want $\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} Ax \right\|^2 = 1$?

And this is easily checked for the toy case where $x = e_i = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.