

Spectral Gap & Mixing TIMES

①

- $M = (P, \pi, \Omega)$ ergodic, reversible Markov chain.
- Eigenvalues of P : $1 = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{|\Omega|} \geq -1$.
- Spectral Gap: $\lambda(P) = 1 - \max_{i \geq 2} |\lambda_i|$
- If all eigenvalues non-negative, then $\lambda(P) = 1 - \lambda_2$.

Thm 1

$$(\lambda(P)^{-1} - 1) \log 2 \leq T_{\text{mix}}(M) \leq \lambda(P)^{-1} \cdot \log\left(\frac{4}{\pi_{\min}}\right)$$

$$\pi_{\min} = \min_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x)$$

For simplicity, we shall assume that M is lazy,

i.e.,
$$P = \frac{I + \hat{P}}{2}$$

and show instead:

$$\text{Thm 1.1} \quad T_{\text{mix}}(M) \leq \lambda(P)^{-1} \log\left(\frac{16}{\pi_{\min}}\right)$$

* Recall if P is lazy, then all its eigenvalues are non-negative.

Some definitions:

②

Take $A \in \mathbb{R}^{|\Omega|}$ [or $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$].

$$\Rightarrow E_{\pi}[f] = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \cdot f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_{\pi}[f] = E_{\pi}[(f - E_{\pi}[f])^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \pi(y) (f(x) - f(y))^2 \quad [\text{Ex. Check!}]$$

The Dirichler form: ("local" variance).

$$\Sigma_{\pi}(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \cdot P(x, y) (f(x) - f(y))^2$$

Lemma 2 If $\exists \alpha > 0$ s.t.

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{R}^{|\Omega|} \quad \alpha \cdot \Sigma_{\pi}(f, f) \geq \text{Var}_{\pi}(f),$$

Then:

$$T_{\text{mix}} \leq \alpha \cdot \log \frac{16}{\pi_{\min}}$$

What is the optimal α ? (3)

Lemma 3 (Courant-Fischer Thm)

P ergodic and reversible w.r.t π . Then

$$1 - \lambda_2 = \min_{f: \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) \neq 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\pi}(f, f)}{\text{Var}_{\pi}(f)}$$

• Since P is lazy, all its eigenvalues are positive and so $\lambda(P) = 1 - \lambda_2$.

• Then $\alpha_{\text{OPTIMAL}} = \lambda(P)^{-1}$, and

$$T_{\text{mix}} \leq \lambda(P)^{-1} \cdot \log\left(\frac{16}{\pi_{\text{min}}}\right)$$

as claimed

Note: If ~~we~~ consider the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\pi} = \sum_{x \in \Omega} f(x) \cdot g(x) \cdot \pi(x).$$

Then, assuming $\mathcal{E}_{\pi}(f) = 0$ w.l.o.g., we get:

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\pi}(f, f)}{\text{Var}_{\pi}(f)} = \frac{\langle f, (I - P)f \rangle_{\pi}}{\langle f, f \rangle_{\pi}} \quad [\text{check!}]$$

Proof of Lemma 2:

$$\text{Var}_{\pi}(Pf) \leq \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) - \epsilon_{\pi}(f, f) \quad (*)$$

Before proving $(*)$, let us use it to prove Lemma 2.

Since, $\epsilon_{\pi}(f, f) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \text{Var}_{\pi}(f)$ by assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\pi}(Pf) &\leq \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) \end{aligned}$$

Inductively:

$$\text{Var}_{\pi}(P^T f) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^T \cdot \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{R}^{|\Omega|}$$

Now, let

$$f_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

↳ indicator for the set A .

Then: $\text{Var}_{\pi}(f_A) \leq E_{\pi}[f_A^2] \leq 1$, and.

$$\text{Var}(P^T f_A) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^T \leq e^{-T/\alpha}$$

Suppose $x \in \Omega$ is the initial state of the chain and take $T = \alpha \log\left(\frac{16}{\pi(x)}\right)$.

Then,

$$\frac{\pi(x)}{16} \geq \text{Var}_\pi(P^\tau f_A) \geq \pi(x) \left(P^\tau f_A(x) - E_\pi[P^\tau f_A] \right)^2$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \geq |P^\tau f_A(x) - E_\pi[P^\tau f_A]| \quad (**)$$

Now, using the fact that f_A is the indicator for set A , we get:

$$(a) P^\tau f_A(x) = \sum_{y \in \Omega} P^\tau(x, y) \cdot f_A(y) = \sum_{y \in A} P^\tau(x, y) = P^\tau(x, A)$$

$$(b) E_\pi[P^\tau f_A] = E_\pi[f_A] = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \cdot f_A(x) = \pi(A)$$

↳ [Ex. check!]

Plugging (a) and (b) into (**), we get

$$\frac{1}{4} \geq |P^\tau(x, A) - \pi(A)|$$

Since this holds $\forall x \in \Omega$ and $A \subseteq \Omega$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \geq \|P^\tau(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{TV}$$

and so

$$T_{mix} \leq \tau = \alpha \cdot \log\left(\frac{16}{\pi_{min}}\right)$$



We still need to show inequality $\textcircled{*}$:

(6)

$$\text{Var}_{\pi}(Pf) \leq \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) - \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(f^2).$$

Recall that P is lazy: Namely, $P = \frac{I + \hat{P}}{2}$.

Let us assume w.l.o.g. that $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[f] = 0$.

(Note that adding a constant to f does not change any of the terms in $\textcircled{*}$.)

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\pi}(Pf) &= \sum_{x \in \Omega} [Pf(x)]^2 \pi(x) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \left[\sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x,y) \cdot f(y) \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \left[\sum_{y \in \Omega} \frac{I + \hat{P}}{2}(x,y) \cdot f(y) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \left[f(x) + \sum_{y \in \Omega} \hat{P}(x,y) \cdot f(y) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \left[\sum_{y \in \Omega} \hat{P}(x,y) (f(x) + f(y)) \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var}_{\pi}(Pf) \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \left(\sum_{z \in \Omega} \hat{P}(x,z) \right) \left(\sum_{y \in \Omega} \hat{P}(x,y) (f(x) + f(y))^2 \right)$$

↳ (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality).

$$\text{Var}_\pi(Pf) \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \cdot \hat{P}(x, y) (f(x) + f(y))^2 \quad (1) \quad (7)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_\pi(f) &= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \cdot f(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \Omega} \pi(y) \cdot f(y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \cdot f(x)^2 \hat{P}(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(y) \cdot f(y)^2 \hat{P}(y, x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \hat{P}(x, y) (f(x)^2 + f(y)^2). \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Then, (2) - (1) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_\pi(f) - \text{Var}_\pi(Pf) &\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \hat{P}(x, y) [2(f(x)^2 + f(y)^2) - (f(x) + f(y))^2] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \hat{P}(x, y) (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) (2P - I)(x, y) (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \cdot P(x, y) (f(x) - f(y))^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \cdot I(x, y) (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\ &= \Sigma_\pi(f, f) \end{aligned}$$

as desired

Proof of Lower Bound:

(8)

• Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ be the eigenvectors of \hat{P} .

• Consider the inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\pi} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) g(x) \cdot \pi(x) \quad \forall f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}|}$$

• Consider the inner product space:

$$L_2(\pi) = (\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}|}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\pi})$$

Claim 41 $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_2(\pi)$.

→ [Direct consequences of spectral thm for symmetric matrices. Check!!]

Orthonormal basis:

• $\langle f_j, f_i \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j \neq i$ [orthogonality]

• $\langle f_i, f_i \rangle = 1 \quad \forall i$ [normality]

• $\forall g \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}|} : g = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{X}} a_j f_j$ [basis]

$$a_j = \langle g, f_j \rangle_{\pi}$$

Lower bound:

(9)

$$(\lambda(\hat{P})^{-1} - 1) \log 2 \leq \tau_{\text{mix}}.$$

Note here: $\sum_y \pi(y) \cdot f_J(y) = \langle f_J, \mathbb{1} \rangle_{\pi} = 0$ } Since f_J is orthogonal to $\mathbb{1}$

Then,

$$|\lambda_J^{-1} \cdot f_J(x)| = |\hat{P}^T f_J(x)| = \left| \sum_y P^T(y, x) \cdot f_J(y) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_y P^T(y, x) \cdot f_J(y) - \sum_y \pi(y) \cdot f_J(y) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_y |P^T(y, x) - \pi(y)| \cdot \max_{y' \in \Omega} |f_J(y')|.$$

$$\leq 2 \|P^T(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{TV} \cdot \|f_J\|_{\infty}$$

Taking the x that maximizes L.H.S.

$$|\lambda_J|^{-1} \leq 2 \|P^T(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{TV}$$

$$|\lambda_J|^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \tau_{\text{mix}} \log\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_J|}\right) \geq \log 2.$$

$$\tau_{\text{mix}} \left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_J|} - 1 \right) \geq \tau_{\text{mix}} \log \frac{1}{|\lambda_J|} \geq \log 2.$$

$$T_{mix} \left[\frac{\lambda(P)}{1-\lambda(P)} \right] \geq \log 2$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{mix} &\geq \lambda(P)^{-1} (1-\lambda(P)) \log 2 \\ &\geq (\lambda(P)^{-1} - 1) \log 2 \end{aligned}$$